# Aldermore Group PLC Pillar 3 Disclosures Year ended 30 June 2021

# Contents

1	Introduction	4
2	Summary analysis	5
3	Disclosure policy	8
4	Scope of consolidation	9
5	Changes to disclosures	10
6	Regulatory capital framework	11
7	Risk management	13
8	Capital risk	17
9	Credit risk	24
10	Liquidity risk	47
11	Market risk	49
12	Compliance, Conduct and Financial Crime risk	51
13	Operational risk	52
14	Reputational risk	54
15	Securitisation	54
16	Participation in Bank of England funding schemes	58
17	Governance	58
18	Remuneration	60
Appendices		
Appendix 1:	Disclosures for Aldermore Bank PLC.	64
Appendix 2:	EBA transitional own funds disclosure template	71
Appendix 3:	Main features of regulatory capital instruments	73
Appendix 4:	Additional Group credit risk disclosures	76
Appendix 5:	Group asset encumbrance	80
Appendix 6:	Counter-cyclical buffer	82

# Tables

Page		Table name
	: Summary	
5	Table 1	Key capital ratios
	: Capital ris	
18	Table 2	Total minimum Pillar 1 capital requirement
19	Table 2	Capital composition
20	Table 4	Flow statement for regulatory capital resources
20	Table 5	Reconciliation of statutory equity to total regulatory capital resources
22	Table 6	Summary reconciliation of accounting assets and leverage ratio exposures
22	Table 7	Leverage ratio common disclosure
23	Table 8	Analysis of on-balance sheet exposures (excluding derivatives and SFTs)
24	Table 9	Free format text boxes for disclosure on qualitative items
	: Credit risk	
25	Table 10	Pillar 1 capital requirements: credit risk
26	Table 11	Credit risk RWAs flow statement
28	Table 12	Credit risk exposures analysed by industry sector
30	Table 13	Residual contractual maturity of credit risk exposures
33	Table 14	Analysis of loans and advances to customers by impairment status
34	Table 15	Movements in allowance for impairment losses
39	Table 16	Collateral held in respect of loans and advances to customers, neither past due nor individually
		impaired
40	Table 17	SME Commercial Mortgages – Ioan-to-value
40	Table 18	Buy-to-Let – loan-to-value
41	Table 19	Residential Mortgages – loan-to-value
42	Table 20	MotoNovo Finance – Ioan-to-value
44	Table 21	Credit quality of treasury financial assets
46	Table 22	Net exposures to counterparty credit risk for derivative contracts
Section 1	0: Liquidity	
47	Table 23	Liquidity portfolio
49	Table 24	Quantitative LCR information
	1: Market ris	sk
50	Table 25	Reported risk measures
Section 1	2: Operation	nal risk
53	Table 26	Operational risk RWAs flow statement and minimum Pillar 1 capital requirement
Section 1	5: Securitisa	
55	Table 27	Retained securitisation positions
56	Table 28	Impairment status of exposures securitised
57	Table 29	Aggregate exposure to purchased securitisation positions
57	Table 30	Aggregate amount of purchased securitisation positions by exposure type
	7: Governar	
59	Table 31	Board directorships
	8: Remuner	
63	Table 32	Total remuneration to Code Staff by business area
63	Table 33	Total remuneration to Code Staff
		Ires for Aldermore Bank PLC
64	Table 34	Total minimum Pillar 1 capital requirement (Bank only)
65	Table 35	Capital composition (Bank only)
66	Table 36	Flow statement for regulatory capital resources (Bank only)
66	Table 37	Reconciliation of statutory equity to total regulatory capital resources (Bank only)
67 67	Table 38	Summary reconciliation of accounting assets and leverage ratio exposures (Bank only)
67	Table 39	Leverage ratio common disclosure (Bank only)
68	Table 40	Analysis of on-balance sheet exposures (excluding derivatives and SFTs) (Bank only)
69	Table 41	Free format text boxes for disclosure on qualitative items (Bank only)
69	Table 42	Pillar 1 capital requirements: credit risk (Bank only)
70	Table 43	Net exposures to counterparty credit risk for derivative contracts (Bank only)
		n funds disclosure template
71	Table 44	Transitional own funds disclosure template
Appendix	c 3: Main fea	tures of regulatory capital instruments

73	Table 45	Main features of capital instruments (Aldermore Group PLC)
75	Table 46	Main features of capital instruments (Aldermore Bank PLC)
Append	lix 4: Additior	nal Group credit risk disclosures
77	Table 47	Breakdown of total exposures by risk weighting
79	Table 48	Payment status of gross loans and advances to customers by UK region
Append	lix 5: Group a	sset encumbrance
80	Table 49	Template A – Assets
81	Table 50	Template C - Encumbered assets/collateral received and associated liabilities
81	Table 51	Template D - Information on importance of encumbrance
Append	lix 6: Counter	-cyclical buffer
83	Table 52	Geographical distribution of credit exposures relevant for the calculation of the counter-cyclical buffer
84	Table 53	Amount of institution specific counter-cyclical capital buffer
85	Table 54	Geographical distribution of credit exposures relevant for the calculation of the counter-cyclical buffer – Aldermore Bank PLC
86	Table 55	Amount of institution specific counter-cyclical capital buffer – Aldermore Bank PLC

# **Forward-looking statements**

This document may contain "forward-looking statements" with respect to certain plans of Aldermore Group PLC and its subsidiary undertakings (together "the Group") and its current goals and expectations relating to its future financial condition, performance, results, strategic initiatives and objectives. Generally, words such as "may", "could", "will", "expect", "intend", "estimate", "anticipate", "aim", "outlook", "believe", "plan", "seek", "continue" or similar expressions identify forward-looking statements. These forward-looking statements are not guarantees of future performance. By their nature, all forward-looking statements are inherently predictive and speculative and involve risk and uncertainty because they relate to future events and circumstances which are beyond the Group's control, including amongst other things, UK economic business conditions, market-related risks such as fluctuations in interest rates, the policies and actions of regulatory authorities, the impact of competition, inflation, deflation, the timing impact and other uncertainties of future acquisitions or combinations within relevant industries, as well as the impact of tax and other legislation or regulations in the jurisdictions in which the Group operates. As a result, the Group's forward-looking statements.

Forward-looking statements in this document are current only as of the date on which such statements are made. The Group undertakes no obligation to update any forward-looking statements, save in respect of any requirement under applicable law or regulation. Nothing in this document shall be construed as a profit forecast.

## **1** Introduction

This document comprises Aldermore Group PLC's ("the Group") Pillar 3 disclosures on capital and risk management as of 30 June 2021. It has two principal purposes:

- To provide useful information on the capital and risk profile of the Aldermore Group; and
- To meet the regulatory disclosure requirements under the Capital Requirements Regulation (EU) No 575/2013 ("CRR"), Part 8 Disclosure by institutions and the rules of the Prudential Regulation Authority ("PRA") set out in the Public Disclosure section of the PRA Rulebook and as the PRA has otherwise directed.

Aldermore Group's strategy is underpinned by its purpose of 'Backing people to fulfil life's hopes and dreams' and supports a wide range of customers including UK SME's, homeowners, landlords, vehicle

owners and savers. The Aldermore Group consists of two operating companies, Aldermore Bank PLC and MotoNovo Finance Limited. Aldermore Bank provides finance to business owners, homeowners and landlords, and supports savers. MotoNovo Finance helps people buy their next car, van or motorcycle. The Group operates exclusively online, by phone and through networks.

Aldermore Group is part of FirstRand Group, the largest financial services group in Africa by market capitalisation.

Additional relevant information may be found in the 2021 Aldermore Group PLC Annual Report and Accounts (hereafter referred to as the "ARA") which includes a description of the Group's strategy and business model.

# 2 Summary analysis

#### Key ratios

A high-level summary of the key capital ratios on both a Group consolidated and Bank only basis as of 30 June 2021 is provided below.

### Table 1: Key capital ratios

	Group		Bank	
	2021	2020	2021	2020
Common Equity Tier 1 (CET 1) ratio	13.9%	13.3%	15.9%	13.4%
Tier 1 capital ratio	15.2%	14.7%	16.9%	14.4%
Total capital ratio	17.7%	17.4%	19.6%	16.9%
Risk weighted assets (£ million)	8 434.4	7 864.0	5 964.2	6 406.3
Leverage ratio	7.6%	7.4%	7.5%	6.1%

Further details on the Group's capital ratios, risk weighted assets ("RWAs") and leverage ratio are presented in Section 8 of this document. The equivalent disclosures for the Bank are presented in Appendix 1.

#### Transitional own funds

The Group and Bank capital position is reported on a transitional basis.

#### **Credit RWAs**

Credit risk RWAs make up the majority of the Group's total RWAs balance. Credit RWAs as of 30 June 2021 were £7,764.9 million (30 June 2020: £7,272.1 million).

The credit RWAs are summarised as follows:

	30 June 2021	30 June 2020	Movement
	£m	£m	£m
Asset Finance	1 063.4	1 313.4	(250.0)
Invoice Finance	321.9	251.0	70.9
SME Commercial Mortgages	1 043.6	1 232.4	(188.8)
Buy-to-Let	1 910.4	2 021.6	(111.2)
Residential Mortgages	853.5	775.7	77.8
MotoNovo Finance	2 174.3	1 302.5	871.8
Central	397.8	375.4	22.4
Total credit risk weighted assets	7 764.9	7 272.1	492.8

#### Key matters arising during the period

#### Macroeconomy

There has been continued significant macroeconomic uncertainty over the last year, driven primarily by the impact of the Covid-19 pandemic. Periods of lockdown restrictions imposed at the end of 2020 and beginning of 2021 caused significant disruption to the economy. During the period, the Government has continued to extend a range of measures to support businesses and individuals, including the Bounce Back Loan Scheme ("BBLS"), Coronavirus Business Interruption Loan Scheme ("CBILS") and Job Retention Scheme. It has also introduced new initiatives including the Recovery Loan Scheme and has supported the housing market through the extension of the Stamp Duty Relief Scheme. The Bank of England has kept the UK base rate at 10bps since the last adjustment in March 2020. Inflation continues to rise due to supply chain disruptions and rising energy costs, which are expected to continue adding upside pressure over the coming months. In their September 2021 meeting the Monetary Policy Committee reiterated their stance that these factors will be transitory, stating that their focus is on the medium-term prospects of the economy and inflation.

As restrictions have been lifted over July and August, business confidence has begun to return, and consumer spending has increased. However, there are ongoing issues impacting businesses from Covid-19 with many employees having to self-isolate, as well as impacts of Brexit with seasonal and other European workers retreating from the UK job market. This has impacted supply chains and in particular in the retail and agriculture sectors who traditionally rely on this employee pool.

The short-term outlook for the economy though is favourable, due to the success of the vaccination programme and the near ending of restrictions on 19 July in England, with the rest of the UK easing restrictions in August. A combination of excess savings, pent-up demand and a range of Government incentives should assist the UK's economic recovery. However, there is still a fair amount of uncertainty in the economy as Government support schemes come to an end whilst there are likely to be continuing Covid-19 impacts such as potential further variants that will impact individuals, families and businesses. Whilst the full impact of Brexit on the UK is yet to become clear, the direct impact on the Group is likely to be minimal with the effects being felt more in the wider economy.

A range of data published by the Federation of Small Businesses and BDO<sup>1</sup> suggests that business confidence has risen, as firms make the most of the easing of lockdown restrictions and high levels of consumer spending. Aldermore Group, with its legacy of successfully supporting a range of businesses, is well placed to back SMEs as they recover from the wide-ranging impacts of the pandemic.

#### Covid-19

Covid-19 has had a significant financial impact on the Group, predominantly on impairments with historically high levels of provisions held on the balance sheet reflecting the rapidly changing macroeconomic outlook, with increased defaults compared to historic levels and the impact of payment breaks. However, despite these challenges Aldermore has delivered a robust performance in the financial year with a profit before tax ("PBT") of £157.8 million (30 June 2020: £48.8 million). The increase in PBT is primarily driven by an £80 million reduction in the annual impairments charge as the macroeconomic outlook in the UK has improved significantly over the course of the year. This improved outlook has been partly offset by increased non-performing loans ("NPLs") reflecting the Group's conservative policy of classifying all customers who sought more than six months of payment breaks as stage 3 within the expected credit losses ("ECL"), The majority of customers who have been supported with a payment break have resumed full repayments but will continue to remain in stage 3 until the end of a probationary period. The Group's capital and liquidity position has remained robust, with a CET1 ratio at the end of June 2021 of 13.9% (30 June 2020: 13.3%) reflecting increased profit and the continued utilisation of the capital previously injected to pre-fund MotoNovo Finance lending growth, and a liquidity coverage ratio of 453% (30 June 2020 397%). No colleagues were furloughed or made redundant as a result of the pandemic. The Group continues to be focused on supporting its customers and protecting its employees' wellbeing.

The Group also performs a series of formal risk management processes as set out in the Risk Management Framework, which includes assessing Emerging Risks. Unlike our Principal Risks, the suite of Emerging Risks is designed to change on a regular basis to reflect the Group's operating environment. Given Covid-19 is unprecedented, and still evolving, it remains one of the Group's key emerging risks as we continue to monitor future impacts, including impacts on customers, credit risk, operational risk and people considerations. More information can be found in the Emerging Risks section on page 65.

### **Environment and Climate Change**

Aldermore recognises climate change as a defining issue, with potentially far-reaching impacts for our customers, colleagues and communities. This is a challenge that requires action in this decade, and we consider this a strategic risk that cuts across other risk types – such as credit risk and operational risk. To address this, we are developing a comprehensive action plan. Through this, we are progressing a range of activities to better understand the impacts of our business on the climate, the impacts of climate change on our customers, our portfolios and business resilience and to build and enhance our capabilities for the identification, management, monitoring and disclosure of climate risks and opportunities. Further information on our developing approach to climate change is provided alongside our annual operational emissions in our Energy and Carbon Report on page 32.

Further details of the market overview including Environmental, Social and Governance initiatives can be found in the ARA page 24.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> BDO - https://www.bdo.co.uk/en-gb/insights/business-trends/business-trends & FSB - https://www.fsb.org.uk/resources-page/fsb-voice-ofsmall-business-index--quarter-1--2021.html

# **3** Disclosure policy

The following sets out a summary of the Group's Pillar 3 disclosure policy, including basis of preparation, frequency, media and location, verification and risk profile disclosure.

#### **Basis of preparation**

This document sets out the disclosures under Part VIII of the Capital Requirements Regulation (EU Regulation 575/2013, the "CRR"), which represents the Pillar 3 regulatory disclosure requirements in the UK under CRD IV1. Details on the scope of consolidation are provided within the next section. No material disclosures have been omitted and nor have any disclosures been omitted from this document for confidentiality purposes.

#### Frequency, media and location

The Group's policy is to publish the Pillar 3 disclosures on an annual basis. The information is published in conjunction with the ARA. The Pillar 3 disclosures are published on the Group's website: www.investors.aldermore.co.uk.

The frequency of disclosure will be reviewed should there be a material change in any approach used for the calculation of capital, business structure or regulatory requirements.

#### Verification

The Group's Pillar 3 disclosures have been reviewed and approved by the Audit Committee on behalf of the Board. In addition, the remuneration disclosures as detailed in Section 18 of this document have been reviewed and approved by the Remuneration Committee. The disclosures are not subject to audit.

#### Board responsibility for risk management and disclosures

A core objective of the Group is the effective management of risk. The responsibility for identifying and managing the principal risks rests with the Group's Board of Directors, who are also responsible for setting the Group's strategy, risk appetite and control framework.

The Board considers that, as of 30 June 2021, it had in place adequate systems and controls with regard to the Group's risk profile and strategy. Furthermore, the Board can confirm that the Group remained within defined limits for risk exposure throughout the year for all principal risks. The Group also operated in line with its internal capital targets.

In accordance with Part VIII of the CRR and the Group's Pillar 3 disclosure policy, the Group is required to ensure that its external disclosures portray its risk profile comprehensively. The Directors have considered the adequacy of the Pillar 3 disclosures in relation to these requirements and are satisfied that they convey the risk profile of the Group comprehensively. The disclosures of risk management objectives and procedures within this Pillar 3 document are detailed further within the Risk Management Report of the ARA.

### 4 Scope of consolidation

There are no differences between the basis of consolidation of the Group for accounting and regulatory purposes. All the Group's subsidiary undertakings are included in the data provided in the Pillar 3 disclosures. Full details of the Group's subsidiaries as of 30 June 2021 are provided in note 22 to the ARA.

The following companies are securitisation vehicles established in connection with the Group's securitisation programme. Although the share capital of these securitisation vehicles is not owned by the Group, these vehicles are included in the consolidated financial statements as they are controlled by the Group.

Company	Principal activity	Country of incorporation
Oak No.2 Mortgage Holdings Limited*	Holding company for securitisation vehicle	United Kingdom
Oak No.2 PLC*	Securitisation vehicle	United Kingdom
Oak No.3 Mortgage Holdings Limited*	Holding company for securitisation vehicle	United Kingdom
Oak No.3 PLC*	Securitisation vehicle	United Kingdom
MotoMore Limited*	Securitisation vehicle	United Kingdom
Turbo 9	Securitisation vehicle	United Kingdom

\* The share capital of the securitisation vehicles is not owned by the Group, but the vehicles are included in the consolidated financial statements as they are controlled by the Group.

Aldermore Group PLC is subject to consolidated supervision, with Aldermore Bank PLC also subject to solo regulatory supervision by the PRA. Therefore, it is a requirement to calculate and maintain regulatory capital ratios on both a Group basis and on a solo basis for the Bank. The Group's capital requirements are presented in Section 8 of this document. The Bank's capital requirements are presented in Appendix 1. The differences between the Group and the Bank relate primarily to reserves held by entities that sit outside of the scope of the Bank, including MotoNovo Finance, amounts included in the Bank's results in relation to transactions with the Group's securitisation vehicles which are eliminated upon consolidation and a small impact from the risk weighted assets of these entities.

There are no current or foreseen material, practical or legal impediments to the transfer of capital resources or the repayment of liabilities between consolidated entities within the Group, with the exception of assets and liabilities of the Group's securitisation vehicles which are not immediately available to other members of the Group.

# 5 Changes to disclosures

The Group continues to look to develop and enhance the quality and transparency of Pillar 3 disclosures to ensure that they are as clear and informative as possible.

The Financial Stability Board ("FSB") established the Enhanced Disclosures Task Force ("EDTF") with a remit to broaden and deepen the risk disclosures of financial institutions in a number of areas, including risk management, liquidity and funding risk, credit risk and market risk.

The Group's Pillar 3 disclosures have been enhanced with the aim of providing a clear, succinct and transparent document. All new and current requirements are complied with.

# 6 Regulatory capital framework

The rules governed by the Capital Requirements Directive 'CRD' include disclosure requirements known as "Pillar 3" which apply to banks, building societies and investment firms. These are designed to promote market discipline through the disclosure of key information about risk exposures and risk management processes. CRD also made changes to rules on corporate governance, including remuneration, and introduced standardised regulatory reporting within the EU. The framework consists of three "pillars", as summarised below:

- **Pillar 1 Minimum capital requirements:** defines the minimum capital requirements that banks are required to hold for credit, market and operational risks.
- Pillar 2 Supervisory review process: includes a requirement for firms to undertake an Internal Capital Adequacy Assessment Process ("ICAAP"). The ICAAP represents the aggregated view of the risks faced by the Group and is used by the Board and management to understand the levels of capital required to be held over the planning horizon to cover these risks and to withstand a range of adverse stress scenarios. The ICAAP is reviewed by the PRA during its Supervisory Review and Evaluation Process and is used to determine the overall capital requirements that apply to the Group and the Bank.
- **Pillar 3 Market discipline:** aims to improve market discipline by requiring banks to publish information on their principal risks, capital structure and risk management to provide more transparency to market participants.

### 6.1 Capital requirements

The following table provides a summary of the capital requirements applicable to the Group and brief details of the calculation method applied by the Group for each element of the requirements. Further details of each aspect can be found later in this document as highlighted.

Requirement	Calculation method	Description	Requirements	Further information
Pillar 1			1	
Credit risk	Standardised Approach	The Group applies the standardised method to the entire loan book and other assets. The standardised approach applies a prescribed set of risk weightings to credit risk exposures.	Pillar 1 requirements (as per Article 92 of the CRR): 4.5% of RWAs met by CET 1 capital.	Section 8.5 and Section 9.2
Market risk	Standardised Approach	The Group applies the standardised method to relevant assets in determining the level of capital held for regulatory purposes.	6.0% of RWAs met by Tier 1 capital. 8.0% of RWAs met	Section 8.5
Operational risk	Basic Indicator Approach ("BIA")	The Group applies the BIA for operational risk capital requirements in accordance with CRR Article 315. A 15% multiplier is applied to the historical average net interest and fee income of the last three years.	by total capital.	Section 8.5 and Section 12.3

Credit valuation adjustment ("CVA")	Standardised Approach	A CVA is an adjustment to the fair value of a derivative contract reflecting the counterparty credit risk inherent in the contract. Calculated in accordance with CRR Article 384.		Section 8.5
Pillar 2 Pillar 2A	Calculated by Aldermore and reflected in the ICAAP submission. Used by the PRA as a basis for determining the Total Capital Requirement ("TCR") which is the Pillar 1 and Pillar 2A requirements.	Percentage of RWAs.	Supervisory Statement 31/15 requires firms to disclose the amount and quality of its TCR (formerly the Individual Capital Guidance), at the highest level of consolidation in the UK. Aldermore Group's TCR is 9.0% of RWAs. At least 56,25% of this must	n/a

Requirement	Calculation method	Description	Requirement	Further information	
Buffers	-		-		
Capital conservation buffer ("CCoB")	Expressed as a percentage of RWAs.	buffer is part of the CRD IV combined buffer. It is held in combination with the counter-cyclical buffer and the PRA buffer to ensure the Group can withstand an adverse market stress. All to be met by CET 1 capital.	buffer is part of the CRD IV combined buffer. It is held in	2.5%	n/a
Counter- cyclical Buffer ("CCyB")	Expressed as a percentage of RWAs for a specific jurisdiction. In accordance with Regulation (EU) 1152/2014, as foreign credit exposures represent less than 2% of the Group's aggregate risk weighted exposures, all exposures have been allocated to the UK, as such no other CCyB is applicable to Aldermore Group.		Set by the Financial Policy Committee ("FPC"), the UK CCyB is currently at zero. The CCyB rate is reviewed on a quarterly basis by the FPC and increases apply 12 months after it is set.	n/a	
PRA buffer	Expressed as a percentage of RWAs.	The PRA buffer, in combination with the CRD IV combined buffer, is held to ensure the Group can withstand an adverse market stress. The PRA buffer must be met fully with CET 1 capital.	The PRA buffer is set by the PRA and is not disclosed.	n/a	

### 6.2 Capital resources

The following table provides a summary of the main components of the Group's capital resources as of 30 June 2021:

Type of capital	Description	Further information
Common Equity Tier 1 ("CET 1")	Comprises ordinary share capital, share premium and allowable reserves including retained earnings. Adjustments included in CET1 include a deduction for intangible assets, a Prudent Valuation Adjustment (per CRR Article 105) and IFRS 9 transitional arrangements.	Details of the terms and conditions of each instrument are provided in Appendix 4. Quantitative disclosures can be found in Section 8.6.

Additional Tier 1 ("AT1")	Comprises Fixed Rate Reset Additional Tier 1 ("AT1") Perpetual subordinated contingent securities issued by the Group in June 2019 and April 2020	Details of the terms and conditions of the AT1 instruments are provided in Appendix 4. Quantitative disclosures can be found in Section 8.6. Further details can be found in note 35 to the ARA.
Tier 2	Comprises qualifying subordinated notes.	Details of the terms and conditions of the subordinated notes are provided in Appendix 4. Quantitative disclosures can be found in Section 8.6. Further details can be found in note 31 to the ARA.

The Group's quantitative disclosures in respect of capital reserves are provided in Section 8 of this document.

# 7 Risk management

#### 7.1 The Group's approach to risk

Effective risk management is a key pillar in the execution of the Group's strategy. The Board and senior management seek to ensure that the risks the Group is taking are clearly identified, managed, monitored and reported and that the Group remains sustainable including during a plausible but severely adverse economic downturn and/or idiosyncratic conditions. The Risk Management approach applies across Aldermore Group and its subsidiaries. The Risk Management Framework ("RMF") provides the overarching approach on how the Group manages risk.

#### 7.2 Risk principles

The following principles guide the Group's overall approach to risk management:

- All colleagues should adopt the role of "risk manager" and take a prudent approach to risk management in all aspects of their role. The Board and senior management "lead from the front" and set the example with regard to risk management;
- Risk management is structured around the Group's principal risk categories, which are reviewed at least annually as part of the RMF;
- The Group maintains a robust Risk Appetite Framework ("RAF"), manages to a consistent appetite using an approved set of metrics, and reports to senior management at least monthly;
- The Group ensures that it remains sustainable, including during plausible but severely adverse economic and/or idiosyncratic conditions; and
- The approach to remuneration ensures that fair customer outcomes and prudent decision-making within risk appetite are incentivised. Colleagues are not unduly rewarded for driving sales and/or profits.

#### 7.3 Risk management and internal control

The Group's risk management and internal control systems are designed to identify, manage, monitor and report on risks to which the Group is exposed. It can, therefore, only provide reasonable but not absolute assurance against the risk of material loss or misstatement.

The effectiveness of the internal controls was regularly reviewed by the Board, Audit Committee and Risk Committee during the period. This involved receiving reports from management including reports from Finance, Risk, Compliance, Internal Audit and the business lines. The Audit Committee also receives reports on internal controls from the Group's external auditor. Where recommendations are identified for improvements to controls, these are monitored by Group Internal Audit who report the progress made in implementing them to the Audit Committee.

Based on the review performed during the period, and the monitoring and oversight activities performed, the Audit Committee, in conjunction with the Risk Committee, concluded that the Group's risk management and internal control systems were effective. The Audit Committee recommended a statement to this effect to the Board.

Based on this assessment, the Board is satisfied with the effectiveness of the Group's risk management and internal control systems.

#### 7.4 Risk Management Framework

The RMF defines the Group's overall approach to risk management across all roles and material risk types. The RMF underpins the process of identifying, managing, monitoring and reporting the risks to which the Group is exposed. The RMF is supported by supplemental frameworks, policies, processes and procedures and these combine to ensure that the Group's risks are managed in a manner which is appropriate to the size and nature of the Group's operations. The RMF and policies are aligned to regulatory requirements and reflect current industry practice.

The management of risk is based on an understanding of the risks that the Group faces, an assessment of these risks and establishing an appropriate control environment. Risks are assessed at the inherent level (before being mitigated by controls) and at the residual level (once controls and their effectiveness have been considered). Controls include policies, procedures, mandates, defined limits to risk exposures. The design and effectiveness of controls is key and an assessment of these controls is performed by all three lines of defence (see section 7.6 below).

#### 7.5 Risk governance

The Board has responsibility for setting the overall risk appetite, understanding the principal risks taken by the Group and setting acceptable limits for risk within the Risk Appetite Framework. As part of this responsibility, the Board reviews and approves the business strategies, principal risk statements, supporting frameworks and certain Group policies. The Board is ultimately responsible for ensuring that an adequate and effective system of internal control is maintained and regularly reviewed. The Board Risk Committee ("BRC") and Board Audit Committee are the main oversight committees in this regard. Full details of the Group's governance structure can be found in the Corporate Governance section of the ARA from page 36 onwards, with Risk governance and oversight to be found on page 37.

#### 7.6 Three lines of defence

The governance framework adheres to a "three lines of defence" model to ensure a clear delineation of responsibilities between control over day-to-day operations, risk oversight and independent assurance of the Group's activities.

All three lines of defence are responsible for supporting and developing a culture of risk-awareness. Risk management responsibilities are understood at all levels, ownership and accountabilities are clear, and control and oversight is maintained throughout the Group. Full details of the Group's three lines of defence structure can be found on page 58 of the ARA.

#### 7.7 Risk Appetite Framework and risk appetite statement

The Group's risk appetite is set by the Board. The Risk Appetite Framework applies to Aldermore Group in its entirety and is the framework through which the Group sets individual risk appetites for each principal risk and monitors performance against the risk appetite. It defines the Group's approach to monthly risk reporting to senior and working level committees and is a core component of the Group's RMF. The Framework is subject to Board approval at least annually.

The Board provides oversight to ensure the Group adheres to the following principles when setting and monitoring risk appetite:

- The RAF is aligned with our Strategic Plan;
- Risk reporting is action-oriented;
- The risk function provides independent challenge;
- The risk profile is monitored on an ongoing basis; and
- The framework is reviewed annually.

A core objective of the Group's Strategic Plan is to "build out the Aldermore Group through controlled, sustainable and customer-centric growth." The RAF supports the delivery of this objective, and includes the following components:

• Overarching risk appetite statement – this is the primary statement outlining the Group's approach to risk taking linked to the pursuit of the Group's business objectives:

"Operate a sustainable and safe Group that conducts its activities in a prudent manner, taking into account the interests of customers and ensuring its obligations to key stakeholders are met." Key stakeholders are defined as customers, investors, regulators and employees.

- Principal risk appetite statement definition the articulation of the type and level of specific risks (derived from the principal risks) that the Group is willing to accept or tolerate;
- Risk metrics, limits and tolerances definition quantitative or qualitative measures that allocate the Group's aggregate risk appetite statements to individual business activities; and
- Risk profile definition the point in time assessment of the Group's risk exposures.

#### 7.8 Risk culture

The Board is accountable for ensuring that the Group actively embraces a strong risk culture where all staff are accountable for the risks that they take. Senior management leads in implementing the risk appetite and ensuring that the Risk Management Framework is fully embedded.

There is a strong focus on adherence to the risk appetite which is monitored through a defined suite of metrics. A number of specific 'people' metrics are tracked and reported monthly including; voluntary leaver turnover; total vacancies; staff performance; staff absence rate; mandatory training completion; new joiner induction completion; succession planning gaps; and senior management gender diversity

Risk culture is further embedded through:

Risk-based remuneration, with all colleagues across the Group having a performance goal driving a sound risk culture and tracking a commitment by all colleagues to follow Group policies

procedures and regulations, identify, and raise, risks and resolve actions agreed to mitigate risk in a timely manner.

When assessing its risk culture, Aldermore draws upon a range of sources, including: (1) Banking Standards Board Survey results, which explores a number of themes relevant to Risk Culture; (2) Group Internal Audit findings, including audit ratings and the timeliness of issue closures; and (3) the annual assessment of the Group's risk profile produced by the Risk Function, which is considered by the Remuneration Committee and used to support the annual remuneration process.

#### 7.9 Risk policies and operating procedures

Risk policies and operating procedures are the formal documentation detailing the methods used to manage, control, oversee and govern each principal risk. They articulate the limits, operating standards and procedures by which risks are identified, monitored, managed and reported at all stages of the business and risk life cycle.

#### 7.10 Principal and emerging risks

All of the current strategic and principal risks faced by the Group are detailed in full in the Risk Management Report on page 57 of the ARA, which also includes the Group's risk assessment of the continued risks arising from Covid-19 along with more emerging risks such as climate change and the impacts of economic and political risks.

#### **Principal Risks**

- Capital risk
- Credit risk
- Liquidity risk
- Market risk
- Operational risk
- Compliance, Conduct and Financial Crime risk
- Reputational risk
- Model risk

#### Areas of Emerging Risks

- Regulatory change or intervention
- Economic and political environment
- Competitive environment
- Covid-19
- Technology risk

#### 7.11 Stress testing

Stress testing is an important risk management tool, with specific approaches documented for the Group's key annual assessments including the ICAAP, Internal Liquidity Adequacy Assessment Process ("ILAAP"), the Recovery and Resolution Plan ("RRP") and Reverse Stress Testing ("RST"). Further details on the Group's Stress Testing Framework can be found in the capital risk section of this report and on page 61 of the ARA.

# 8 Capital risk

Capital risk, as defined in the Group's RMF, is the risk that the Group has insufficient capital to cover regulatory requirements and/or support growth plans.

#### 8.1 Capital risk principles

The Group aims to maintain a strong capital position in line with the capital risk appetite established by the Board. The Group's capital risk appetite reflects the desire to maintain an appropriate internal capital buffer to protect against unexpected losses, to optimise the capital structure of the Group and efficiently utilise its capital resources in order to generate appropriate returns.

The Group's Capital Management Framework aims to ensure that the Group:

- Maintains robust controls for Pillar 1 reporting;
- Performs a comprehensive annual ICAAP assessment of all material capital risks;
- Plans to meet capital requirements on a forward-looking basis, formally assessing confirmed and potential changes in regulatory rules; and
- Maintains an appropriate internal capital buffer over and above regulatory requirements to protect against unexpected losses.

#### 8.2 Capital risk management

The Group's Capital Planning and Management framework and policy establishes a structure for maintaining the Group's current and prospective capital at an appropriate level relative to various scenarios. The policy describes the process for establishing the Group's capital risk appetite which is approved by the Board and reviewed on an annual basis or more frequently if required.

Current and forecast levels of capital are monitored against the capital risk appetite approved by the Board and the capital position is reported to the Asset, Liability & Finance Committee ("ALFCo"), the Executive Risk Committee, Board Risk Committee and the Board on a regular basis. The capital forecast forms an integral component of the annual budgeting process and is updated in line with changes to the business plan. The capital forecast incorporates the impact of known forthcoming regulatory changes to ensure that the Group is well positioned to meet them when implemented.

The capital forecast is supplemented by regular sensitivity analysis and scenario planning.

#### 8.3 Stress testing

As part of the Group's ICAAP, capital stress testing is performed. Stress testing is used to identify and assess the impact of adverse scenarios on the Group's financial position. The Group has developed a range of stresses, including macro-economic scenarios, which are applied to both the asset and the liabilities side of the balance sheet. Other stress scenarios are designed and applied in Aldermore's other assessments, including Reverse Stress Testing and maintaining recovery and resolution plans.

Stress scenarios are used to size and carry a stress loss buffer which ensures that the Group is able to withstand an adverse economic downturn over a three-to-five-year planning horizon. In addition, management actions are identified which could be taken in order to mitigate the impact of the stress on the Group's capital position. These are aligned with the Recovery Plan, where appropriate, which describes actions that can be taken to preserve capital if the stress scenario is more extreme.

The results of the stress testing are also a key input into the calibration of the Group's capital risk appetite.

#### 8.4 Pillar 1 capital requirement

As outlined in Section 6, the Group is subject to capital requirements under both Pillar 1 and Pillar 2. The following section provides further details of these requirements in respect of Pillar 1. The Group's overall capital requirement under Pillar 1 is calculated by adding together the capital requirements for credit risk, market risk, operational risk and Credit Valuation Adjustment ("CVA").

The following table shows the Group's capital requirement and capital surplus under Pillar 1 as of 30 June 2021 and 30 June 2020.

#### Table 2: Total minimum Pillar 1 capital requirement

	30 June 2021		30 June 2020	
	Risk weighted assets			Pillar 1 capital requirement
	£m	£m	£m	£m
Credit risk	7 764.9	621.2	7 271.2	581.7
Market risk	0.1	-	0.2	-
Operational risk	668.5	53.5	591.7	47.3
Credit valuation adjustment	0.9	0.1	0.9	0.1
Total	8 434.4	674.7	7 864.0	629.1
Capital resources (per table 3)		1 491.6		1 369.7
Capital resources surplus over Pillar 1 requirement		816.9		740.6

The Group's largest capital requirement continues to be for credit risk exposures arising on the Group's lending activities. The minimum Pillar 1 capital requirement for credit risk is considered in further detail in Section 9.

The CVA under CRD refers to an adjustment made on the valuation of an over-the-counter derivative transaction in order to properly reflect the credit risk of the derivative counterparty.

The CVA can also be considered as the risk of mark-to-market losses in relation to counterparty credit risk. The Group's only exposure to market risk is in relation to foreign currency exposure. Both market risk and CVA are immaterial for the Group.

#### 8.5 Total capital resources

The following table shows the composition of the Group's regulatory capital position as of 30 June 2021 and as of 30 June 2020. The capital resources of the Bank are presented in Appendix 1.

#### Table 3: Capital composition

	30 June 2021	30 June 2020
Regulatory capital	£m	£m
Common Equity Tier 1		
Share capital	243.9	243.9
Share premium account	74.4	74.4
Capital redemption reserve	0.1	0.1
Fair value through other comprehensive income (FVOCI) and Prudential Valuation Adjustment	8.3	1.5
Retained earnings	796.5	680.6
IFRS 9 Transitional adjustment	63.4	62.9
Less: Intangible assets	(15.0)	(13.7)
Total Common Equity Tier 1 capital (CET1)	1 171.6	1 049.7
Additional Tier 1		
Additional Tier 1 - Contingent convertible securities	108.0	108.0
Total Tier 1 capital	1 279.6	1 157.7
Tier 2 Capital		
Subordinated notes	212.0	212.0
Total Tier 2 capital	212.0	212.0
Total capital resources	1 491.6	1 369.7
Risk weighted assets - Pillar 1		
Credit risk	7 764.9	7 271.2
Market risk	0.1	0.2
Operational risk	668.5	591.7
Credit valuation adjustment	0.9	0.9
Total risk weighted assets	8 434.4	7 864.0
Capital ratios		
Common Equity Tier 1 capital ratio	13.9%	13.3%
Tier 1 capital ratio	15.2%	14.7%
Total capital ratio	17.7%	17.4%

During the year total capital resources have increased by £121.8 million (30 June 2020: £67.6 million) to £1,491.6 million (30 June 2020: £1,369.7 million) mainly as a result of the inclusion of the Group's profit after tax for the period (included within retained earnings).

The Total capital ratio has increased to 17.7% (30 June 2020: 17.4%) as a result of growth in RWAs. RWA growth is mainly driven by growth in RWAs pertaining to MotoNovo Finance.

The table below shows movements in regulatory capital during the 12-month period to 30 June 2021

	£m
Common Equity Tier 1 as of 30 June 2020	1 049.7
Profit after tax for the year	124.4
Adjustment for adoption of IFRS 9	0.6
Movement in FVOCI reserve and Prudential Valuation Adjustment	6.8
Coupon paid on contingent convertible securities, net of tax	(8.6)
Increase in intangible assets deduction	(1.3)
Common Equity Tier 1 as of 30 June 2021	1 171.6
Additional Tier 1 capital as of 30 June 2021	108.0
Total Tier 1 capital as of 30 June 2021	1 279.6
Tier 2 capital as of 30 June 2020	212.0
Tier 2 capital as of 30 June 2021	212.0
Total regulatory capital as of 30 June 2021	1 491.6

#### 8.6.1 Common Equity Tier 1 capital resources

During the period, the Group's total CET 1 capital resources have increased primarily as a result of profit after tax.

#### 8.6.2 Additional Tier 1 capital resources

There have been no issuances or redemptions of Additional Tier 1 Capital in the year. Further details on the Additional Tier 1 securities are included in note 35 to the ARA and the key features can be found in Appendix 4 of this document.

#### 8.6.3 Total Tier 2 capital resources

Subordinated notes are unsecured and rank after the claims of other creditors of the Group in the event of insolvency or liquidation. Further details of the subordinated notes are included in note 31 to the ARA. The key features of the subordinated notes issued by the Group are detailed in Appendix 4. There have been no movements in the year.

#### 8.7 Reconciliation of statutory equity to regulatory capital resources

The table below reconciles the Group's statutory equity shown within the ARA to the total regulatory capital balance shown in Table 3.

### Table 5: Reconciliation of statutory equity to total regulatory

	30 June 2021	30 June 2020
	£m	£m
Equity per statement of financial position	1 231.2	1 108.5
IFRS 9 Transitional adjustment	63.4	62.9
Regulatory adjustments		
Add: subordinated notes	212.0	212.0
Less: intangible assets	(15.0)	(13.7)
Total regulatory capital resources	1 491.6	1 369.7

#### 8.8 Regulatory capital requirement buffers

#### 8.8.1 CRD buffers

The Group is subject to a number of capital buffers that have to be met with CET 1, over and above the Total Capital Requirement. These capital buffers were implemented under CRD IV. The buffers applicable to the Group include the capital conservation buffer ("CcB") and the counter-cyclical buffer ("CCyB"). The CCyB rate is reviewed on a quarterly basis by the Financial Policy Committee (FPC) and increases become binding 12 months after they are announced. On 11 March 2020 the FPC reduced the CCyB rate from 1% to Nil with immediate effect. In accordance with Regulation (EU) 1152/2014, as foreign credit exposures represent less than 2% of the Group's aggregate risk weighted exposures, all exposures have been allocated to the UK.

#### 8.9 Leverage ratio

The leverage ratio measures the relationship between the capital resources of the Group and its total assets. The leverage ratio is calculated by dividing Tier 1 capital resources by a defined measure of on and off-balance sheet items.

The Aldermore Group leverage ratio was above the regulatory minimum of 3% as advised by the Basel Committee on Banking Supervision at all times during the reporting period. The Group maintains a prudent risk appetite limit above the minimum leverage ratio requirement. The Group's leverage ratio as of 30 June 2021 is 7.6% (30 June 2020: 7.5%). Common disclosure requirements for the leverage ratio were introduced by the European Banking Authority ("EBA") in Implementing Technical Standard 2014/04. The following tables are disclosed in accordance with this. Any blank cells in the templates have not been included in these disclosures.

### Table 6: Summary reconciliation of accounting assets and leverage ratio exposures

	30 June 2021 £m	30 June 2020 £m
Total assets as per published financial statements	16 469.0	15 323.6
Adjustments for derivative financial instruments	18.1	3.8
Adjustment for off-balance sheet items (i.e., conversion to credit equivalent amounts of off-balance sheet exposures)	146.9	140.3
Other adjustments	(15.0)	(13.7)
Leverage ratio exposure	16 619.0	15 454.0

### Table 7: Leverage ratio common disclosure

		30 June 2021 £m	30 June 2020 £m
	On-balance sheet exposures (excluding derivatives and SFTs)		
1	On-balance sheet items (excluding derivatives and SFTs, but including collateral)	16 469.0	15 314.3
2	Asset amounts deducted in determining Tier 1 capital	(15.0)	(13.7)
3	Total on-balance sheet exposures (excluding derivatives and SFTs)	16 454.0	15 300.6
	Derivative disclosures		
4	Replacement cost associated with all derivatives transactions (i.e., net of eligible cash variation margin)	2.0	0.7
5	Add-on amounts for PFE associated with all derivatives transactions (mark to market method)	16.1	12.4
11	Total derivative exposures	18.1	13.1
	Off-balance sheet exposures		
17	Off-balance sheet exposures at gross notional amount	889.8	816.5
18	(Adjustments for conversion to credit equivalent amounts)	(742.9)	(676.2)
19	Other off-balance sheet exposures	146.9	140.2
	Capital and Total Exposures		
20	Tier 1 capital	1 279.6	1 157.7
21	Total leverage ratio exposures	16 619.0	15 454.0
22	Leverage ratio	7.6%	7.5%
	Choice on transitional arrangements and amount of derecognised fiduciary items		
EU- 23	Choice on transitional arrangements for the definition of the capital measure	Fully phased in	Fully phased in

		30 June 2021 £m	30 June 2020 £m
EU-1	Total on-balance sheet exposures (excluding derivatives and SFTs), of which:	16 454.0	15 300.6
EU-3	Banking book exposures, of which:	16 454.0	15 300.6
EU-4	Covered bonds	497.1	529.7
EU-5	Exposures treated as sovereigns	2 076.4	1 841.2
EU-6	Exposures to regional governments, MDB, international organisations and PSE NOT treated as sovereigns	16.9	9.5
EU-7	Institutions	64.6	59.3
EU-8	Secured by mortgages of immovable properties	8 069.0	8 182.3
EU-9	Retail exposures	4 071.2	3 226.7
EU-10	Corporate	738.5	615.0
EU-11	Exposures in default	305.9	81.2
EU-12	Other exposures (e.g. equity, securitisations, and other non-credit obligation assets)	614.4	755.6

Table 8: Analysis of on-balance sheet exposures (excluding derivatives and SFTs)

#### Table 9: Free format text boxes for disclosure on qualitative items

1	Description of the processes used to manage the risk of excessive leverage	The management of excessive leverage is detailed within the Group's Capital Planning and Management Policy ("the Policy"). The Policy includes the governance framework for management, defined procedures for establishing and modifying limits and triggers and the framework for monitoring these. Limits and triggers have a set of notifications, decisions and/or action plan requirements in order to escalate to the appropriate levels of management and to ensure that appropriate steps are taken. ALFCo evaluates and monitors the Group's compliance with the Policy on an ongoing basis.
		The Group also ensures that leverage is assessed under the stress scenarios run as part of the annual ICAAP assessment.
2	Description of the factors that had an impact on the leverage ratio during the period to which the disclosed leverage ratio refers	The Group's leverage ratio has increased to 7.6% (30 June 2020: 7.5%) as Total Tier 1 capital growth has exceeded the growth in leverage exposures.

# 9 Credit risk

Credit risk is the risk of financial loss arising from the borrower or a counterparty failing to meet their financial obligations to the Group in accordance with agreed terms. The risk primarily crystallises by customers defaulting on lending facilities such as a mortgage, lease or loan contract. Credit risk also arises from treasury investments and off-balance sheet activities, with the former typically sub-categorised as counterparty credit risk.

In addition to the disclosures within this section, Appendix 4 contains a number of further credit risk disclosures.

#### 9.1 Credit risk principles

Credit risk appetite is set based on expected levels of loss, credit risk concentration, portfolio composition and performance characteristics and the following principles:

- Operate in selected sectors and products, where we have expertise;
- Consistently apply the approved credit policy, and price credit facilities for risk assumed;
- Where appropriate, obtain physical or financial collateral; and
- Undertake robust in-life management of the credit portfolio, including providing, watch list and internal capital requirements; and perform strict daily management of customer credit risk, including adherence to explicit concentration and credit rating limits.

The Group's appetite for credit risk on treasury assets is minimal with cash and financial assets placed in investment grade rated entities or investment vehicles. No assets are held for speculative purposes or actively traded.

#### 9.2 Minimum capital requirement: credit risk

The Group uses the Standardised Approach in determining the level of capital to be held for regulatory purposes. Under this approach, the Group must set aside total capital equal to eight per cent of its total risk weighted assets to cover its Pillar 1 capital requirements.

The following table shows the credit risk exposures and the composition of the minimum capital requirements for credit risk:

#### Table 10: Pillar 1 capital requirements : credit risk

#### 30 June 2021

Exposures subject to the Standardised Approach	Credit risk exposure <sup>1</sup>	Average credit risk exposure <sup>2</sup>	Risk weighted assets <sup>3</sup>	Minimum capital requirement
	£m	£m	£m	£m
Central government and central banks	1 415.8	1 418.4	-	-
Regional governments or local authorities	4.1	4.1	0.8	-
Public sector entities	12.8	12.1	2.6	0.2
Multilateral development banks	742.9	673.6	-	-
Institutions	82.8	76.1	6.3	0.5
Corporates <sup>4</sup>	708.5	612.1	633.3	50.7
Retail <sup>4</sup>	4 005.0	3 781.2	2 804.2	224.3
Secured by mortgages on immovable property	8 115.9	8 149.2	3 274.3	261.9
Exposures at default	305.9	244.4	312.1	25.0
Items belonging to regulatory high-risk categories	157.7	199.1	236.6	18.9
Short-term claims on institutions or corporates	158.7	164.4	27.7	2.2
Securitisation positions	115.4	115.1	23.1	1.8
Covered bonds	497.1	490.0	49.7	4.0
Other items	273.4	291.2	394.2	31.5
Total	16 596.0	16 231.0	7 764.9	621.0

#### 30 June 2020

Exposures subject to the Standardised Approach	Credit risk exposure <sup>1</sup>	Average credit risk exposure <sup>2</sup>	Risk Weighted Assets <sup>3</sup>	Minimum capital requirement
	£m	£m	£m	£m
Central government and central banks	1 262.9	1 064.2	-	-
Regional governments or local authorities	0.9	0.5	0.2	-
Public sector entities	8.5	8.0	1.7	0.1
Multilateral development banks	586.8	471.0	-	-
Institutions	72.4	85.3	4.0	0.3
Corporates <sup>4</sup>	615.0	731.7	605.4	48.4
Retail <sup>4</sup>	3 226.7	2 937.3	2 222.6	177.8

Total	15 429.8	14 634.3	7 271.2	581.7
Other items	271.9	202.7	307.4	24.6
Covered bonds	529.7	458.1	53.0	4.2
Securitisation positions	114.4	78.5	22.9	1.8
Short-term claims on institutions or corporates	169.3	127.2	16.2	1.3
Items belonging to regulatory high-risk categories	276.3	278.0	414.4	33.2
Exposures at default	81.2	40.8	86.7	6.9
Secured by mortgages on immovable property	8 213.7	8 151.0	3 536.7	282.9

1 Exposures presented are after accounting offsets and without taking into account the effects of credit risk mitigation.

2 Average credit risk exposures calculated as the average of exposure data reported to the PRA on a quarterly basis over the previous 12 months.

3 RWA's are shown after the application of the SME factor.

4 Retail and Corporates include exposures to SME's

The overall capital requirement for credit risk has increased by 6.8% during the period compared with 2020. This increase is primarily attributable to the continued growth across the Group's lending portfolios.

The exposures categorised within "Other items" predominantly relate to other balance sheet assets. These included fixed assets, cash, prepayments, sundry debtors and deferred tax assets. A breakdown of the total exposure values associated with each risk weighting is disclosed in Appendix 4.

#### 9.3 Risk weighted assets: credit risk

The table below shows movements in the RWAs for credit risk for the 12-month period to 30 June 2021 (as shown in Table 3):

#### Table 11: Credit risk RWAs flow statement

	£m
Credit risk RWAs as of 30 June 2020	7 271.2
Decrease in Asset Finance RWAs	(250.1)
Increase in Invoice Finance RWAs	70.9
Decrease in SME Commercial Mortgages RWAs	(8.8)
Decrease in Buy-to-Let RWAs	(111.2)
Increase in Residential Mortgages RWAs	77.8
Increase in Motor Finance RWAs	871.8
Decrease in investment in covered bonds	(3.3)
Change in RWAs associated with other exposure types <sup>1</sup>	(153.4)
Credit risk RWAs as of 30 June 2021	7 764.9

<sup>1</sup> Other changes include movements in Fixed Assets and Fair Value adjustments to portfolio hedged risk. Fair Value adjustments for portfolios of financial assets and financial liabilities designated as hedged items in qualifying fair value hedge relationships, which reflect changes in fair value attributable to the risk being hedged and are reflected through profit and loss in order to match the gains and losses arising on the derivative financial contracts that qualify as hedging instruments.

#### 9.4 Credit risk exposures

The credit risk exposures for the Group as of 30 June 2021 are summarised in the following section including analysis of concentration risk by geography, sector and contractual maturity.

#### 9.4.1 Geographic distribution of credit risk exposures

The Group's credit risk exposures are almost all within the UK, except for £742.9 million as of 30 June 2021 in respect of exposures to multilateral development banks outside of the UK (30 June 2020: £586.8 million), exposures to non-UK central governments and non-UK covered bonds of £45.5 million (30 June 2020: £64.5 million), and £479.8 million (30 June 2020: £428.2 million) of state guaranteed bonds from issuers outside of the UK. Credit risk exposures outside of the UK arise on bonds which are held as part of the Group's liquidity buffer.

An analysis of the Group's geographical concentration by UK region in respect of loans and advances to customers is shown on page 74 of the ARA.

#### 9.4.2 Credit risk exposures by industry sector

The following tables shows the total amount of credit exposures, net of provisions, including pipeline commitments, analysed by sector as of 30 June 2021 and 30 June 2020

### Table 12: Credit risk exposures analysed by sector

#### 30 June 2021

	Construction Financ	Financial	Government and public administration	Manufacturing	Personal	Real estate, professional services and support activities	storage and	Wholesale	Other commercial	Non- customer assets	Total
	£m	£m	£m	£m	£m	£m	£m	£m	£m	£m	£m
Standardised Approach											
Central government and central banks	-	492.5	923.3	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1 415.8
Regional governments or local authorities	-	-	4.1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	4.1
Public sector entities	-	-	12.8	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	12.8
Multilateral development banks	-	742.9	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	742.9
Institutions	-	82.8	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	82.8
Lending <sup>1</sup>	442.6	2 662.2	20.6	183.3	6 685.1	2 486.9	250.1	194.7	367.6	-	13 293.1
Short-term claims on institutions or corporates	-	158.7	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	158.7
Securitisation positions	-	115.4	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	115.4
Covered bonds	-	497.1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	497.1
Other items	_	-	-	-	-	-	_	-	-	273.3	273.3
Total	442.6	4 751.6	960.8	183.3	6 685.1	2 486.9	250.1	194.7	367.6	273.3	16 596.0

<sup>(1)</sup>Lending comprises exposures to regional governments or local authorities, public sector entities, corporates, retail, secured by mortgages on immovable property, exposures at default, and items belonging to regulatory high risk category exposure classes

### Table 12: Credit risk exposures analysed by sector

### 30 June 2020

	Construction	Financial	Government and public administration		Personal	Real estate, professional services and support activities	storage and	Wholesale	Other commercial	Non- customer assets	Total
	£m	£m	£m	£m	£m	£m	£m	£m	£m	£m	£m
Standardised Approach											
Central government and central banks	-	492.5	770.4	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1 262.9
Regional governments or local authorities	-	-	0.9	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0.9
Public sector entities	-	-	8.5	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	8.5
Multilateral development banks	-	586.8	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	586.8
Institutions	-	72.4	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	72.4
Lending <sup>1</sup>	642.1	298.4	16.4	341.8	7 612.0	2 309.2	423.4	336.7	433.0	-	12 413.0
Short-term claims on institutions or corporates	-	169.3	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	169.3
Securitisation positions	-	114.4	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	114.4
Covered bonds	-	529.7	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	529.7
Other items	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	271.9	271.9
Total	642.1	2 263.5	796.2	341.8	7 612.0	2 309.2	423.4	336.7	433.0	271.9	15 429.8

The growth in the Group's lending during the period was driven by an increase in exposures to the Financial sector and as of 30 June 2021, lending exposures to SMEs on a regulatory basis totalled £3.3 billion (30 June 2020: £2.2 billion).

#### 9.4.3 Residual contractual maturity of credit risk exposures

The table below shows the Group's exposures as of 30 June 2021, including off-balance sheet items, analysed by remaining contractual maturity.

#### Table 13: Residual maturity of credit risk exposures

30 June 2021	Within 1 year £m	After 1 year but within 5 years £m	More than 5 years £m	Undated £m	Total £m
Central government and central banks	767.4	354.6	257.7	36.1	1 415.8
Multilateral development banks	143.4	418.6	181.0	-	742.9
Institutions	-	18.2	-	64.6	82.8
Lending <sup>(1)</sup>	1 914.5	2 782.9	8 612.6	-	13 310.0
Short-term claims on institutions or corporates	158.7	-	-	-	158.7
Securitisation positions	4.0	111.4	-	-	115.4
Covered bonds	10.1	434.0	53.0	-	497.1
Other items	-	-	-	273.2	273.2
Total	2 998.1	4 119.7	9 104.3	373.9	16 596.0

30 June 2020	Within 1 year £m	After 1 year but within 5 years £m	-	Undated £m	Total £m
Central government and central banks	537.2	414.6	281.2	29.9	1 262.9
Multilateral development banks	-	380.5	206.3	-	586.8
Institutions	-	13.1	-	59.3	72.4
Lending <sup>(1)</sup>	1 786.7	2 597.4	8 038.3	-	12 422.4
Short-term claims on institutions or corporates	169.3	-	-	-	169.3
Securitisation positions	-	95.9	18.5	-	114.4
Covered bonds	-	510.1	19.6	-	529.7
Other items	-	-	-	271.9	271.9
Total	2 493.2	4 011.6	8 563.9	361.1	15 429.8

Lending comprises exposures to regional governments or local authorities, public sector entities, corporates, retail, secured by mortgages on immovable property, exposures at default and items belonging to regulatory high risk category exposure classes.

The maturity of exposures is shown on a contractual basis rather than on a behavioural basis and therefore, does not include expected redemptions over the life of the exposure. As a consequence, actual maturity is likely to be materially shorter.

#### 9.4.4 Past due and impaired assets

#### Assets held at amortised cost

The Group considers evidence of impairment of financial assets using the three-stage approach established by the IFRS 9 standard. This is based on an estimation of the expected credit losses ("ECL") for the asset over different time frames as below:

- Stage 1 at initial recognition of a financial asset, or when an irrevocable loan commitment is made, if this occurs before a financial asset is recognised, the asset or loan commitment is classified as stage 1 with 12 month expected credit losses to be recognised, representing potential default events expected to occur within the next 12 months;
- Stage 2 if the asset has experienced a significant increase in credit risk since initial recognition above, the asset is classified as stage 2 and lifetime expected credit losses are recognised; and
- Stage 3 any credit impaired assets are classified as stage 3, with expected credit losses measured and recognised on a lifetime basis.

All assets are assessed for individual impairment using a range of risk criteria. Those found not to be individually impaired are then collectively assessed for any impairment that has not yet been identified but has incurred. The Group uses a bespoke credit engine to estimate the ECL on a collective basis for all loans to customers and loan commitments. The collective assessment groups loans with shared credit risk characteristics through lines of business.

The engine captures model outputs from the 12-month Probability of Default (PD), Exposure at Default (EAD), Loss Given Default (LGD), Lifetime PD, Macroeconomic models and staging analysis to derive an ECL estimate for each account. Statistical modelling techniques are used to determine which borrower and transaction characteristics are predictive of certain behaviours, based on relationships observed in historical data related to the group of accounts to which the model will be applied.

When impairments are calculated, each exposure is assigned unique impairment parameters (a PD, LGD and EAD) based on that exposure's individual characteristics.

In respect of debt securities and loans to banks, estimates of expected losses are calculated on the current individual credit grading of the exposure and externally sourced expected loss rates.

Impairment provisions on financial assets individually identified as impaired are calculated as the difference, between the carrying amount and the present value of estimated future cash flows discounted at the asset's original Effective Interest Rate ("EIR").

#### Movements between the stages

In assessing whether loans to customers and loan commitments have been subject to a significant increase in credit risk ("SICR") and needs to move to stage 2 the Group applies the following criteria in order:

• A presumption that an account which is more than 30 days past due or out of order has suffered a significant increase in credit risk. IFRS 9 allows this presumption to be rebutted, but the Group

believes that more than 30 days past due to be an appropriate back stop measure and therefore has not rebutted the presumption;

- Quantitative criteria based upon a change in the modelled PD of individual credit exposures. Staging models using statistical techniques have been developed on a portfolio basis to determine the levels of changes in PDs since origination which correlate to a significant increase in the likelihood of delinquency among historic loans with similar characteristics; and
- Qualitative criteria, where an exposure is subject to temporary forbearance or has been placed on a watch list as a result of possessing certain qualitative features based on Basel Committee on Banking Supervision "Guidance on credit risk and accounting for expected credit losses", including such matters as significant change in the operating results of the borrower or in the value of the collateral provided.

The staging models for applying the quantitative criteria use the change in 12-month PD as a proxy for lifetime PD, as permitted by IFRS 9.

In respect of debt securities and loans to banks, use is made of the low credit risk expedient permitted by IFRS 9 whereby the credit risk is not considered to have increased significantly where the exposures are assumed to be "low" credit risk at the reporting date or/and where they continue to be investment grade, or equivalent.

The Group has identified certain quantitative and qualitative criteria to be considered in determining when an exposure is credit impaired and should therefore be moved into stage 3, these include the following:

- The exposure becomes 90 days past due. IFRS 9 allows this assumption to be rebutted, but at present the Group has not done so; and
- Qualitative criteria, which vary according to the type of lending being undertaken, but include indicators such as bankruptcies, Individual Voluntary Arrangements and permanent forbearance.

The Group has used the same definition of default as that for the purpose of calculating PDs used in its credit models. In addition, the definition has been aligned with those used for regulatory reporting purposes.

Where a loan is in stage 3, then a lifetime ECL is estimated based upon an individual assessment of the borrower and any collateral provided. Typically, the assessment will evaluate the emergence period, likelihood of recovery, recovery period and size of haircut to be applied to the value of the collateral under the different scenarios to estimate their corresponding specific provision amounts on a best estimate basis.

Where payment breaks have been provided in relation to Covid-19 the accounts have been retained in stage 1 but an additional Post Model Adjustment ("PMA") has been applied to reflect the increased risk in this population (see ARA note 3(a) for further detail on PMAs which the Group applies to the modelled IFRS 9 ECL provisions). The Group's policy is to classify all customers exceeding 6 months of payment breaks as stage 3.

Exposures will move out of stage 3 to stage 2 when they no longer meet the criteria for inclusion and have completed agreed probation periods set according to the type of lending. Movement into stage 1 will only occur when the SICR criteria are no longer met.

#### Write-Off and Recoveries

Write-off shall occur when either part, or all, of the outstanding debt is considered irrecoverable and all viable options to recover the debt have been exhausted. Any amount received after a provision has been raised or debt has been written-off, will be recorded as a recovery and reflected as a reduction in the impairment loss reflected in the income statement.

#### Forward-looking macroeconomic scenarios

ECLs and SICR take into account forecasts of future economic conditions in addition to current conditions. The Group has developed a macroeconomic model which adjusts the ECLs calculated by the credit models to provide probability weighted numbers based on a number of forward-looking macroeconomic scenarios and the application of additional Post Model Adjustments ("PMA") to the portfolio as a result of the Covid-19 pandemic.

During the reporting period the Group has changed the sourcing of its forward-looking economic scenarios and probability weightings from an external supplier to internally produced scenarios. The move to the internal provider reduced the number of scenarios from six to four, assisting the Group in having greater control over the shape and severity of the forecasts and also creating an alignment between provisioning and scenario information used for budgeting. It is recognised that due to Covid-19, macroeconomic projections for the UK economy are changing rapidly. For this reason, the economic scenarios were obtained on a monthly basis throughout the period.

#### Analysis of loans and advances to customers by impairment status

The tables below provide a split of the Group's £13,612.6 million (30 June 2020: £12,586.5 million) credit risk exposure to loans, gross of impairments, as per the above IFRS 9 criteria.

	Asset Finance	Invoice Finance	SME Commercial Mortgages		Residential Mortgages		Total
30 June 2021	£m	£m	£m	£m	£m	£m	£m
Stage 1	1 370.1	398.9	926.7	4 682.9	1 830.4	2 925.1	12 134.1
Stage 2	195.0	3.9	164.6	384.2	209.0	129.4	1 086.1
Stage 3(2)	46.7	3.6	56.1	127.5	110.1	48.4	392.4
Gross loans and advances to customers	1 611.8	406.4	1 147.4	5 194.6	2 149.5	3 102.9	13 612.6
Specific impairment allowance	(19.7)	(1.0)	(11.2)	(18.7)	(8.4)	(30.6)	(89.6)
General impairment allowance	(21.8)	(3.6)	(10.2)	(16.4)	(5.0)	(45.6)	(102.6)
Allowance for impairment losses	(41.5)	(4.6)	(21.4)	(35.1)	(13.4)	(76.2)	(192.2)
Net loans and advances to customers	1 570.3	401.8	1 126.0	5 159.5	2 136.1	3 026.7	13 420.4

#### Table 14: Analysis of loans and advances to customers by impairment status

(1) The movement in stage 1 balances is primarily due to the increase in business through MotoNovo Finance. Accounts that have requested payment holidays in relation to Covid-19 that were not in arrears at the start of the payment holiday are not considered to be past due for the purpose of IFRS 9 Staging so therefore, could not migrate through the stages due to arrears or forbearance, however all other staging and default triggers could apply as standard.

(2) Stage 3 balances have increased during the year reflecting the Group's conservative policy of classifying all customers who sought more than six months of payment breaks as stage 3 within the expected credit losses ("ECL"). The majority of customers who have been supported with a payment break have resumed full repayments, but will continue to remain in stage 3 until the end of a probationary period

	Asset Finance	Invoice Finance	SME Commercial Mortgages	Buy-to- Let (1)	Residential Mortgages	MotoNovo Finance	Total
<u>30 June 2020</u>	£m	£m	£m	£m	£m	£m	£m
Stage 1	1 549.6	245.8	919.4	4 654.5	1 879.6	1 743.4	10 992.3
Stage 2	319.6	32.2	207.8	535.4	139.1	122.1	1 356.2
Stage 3	38.2	6.4	28.4	79.2	72.0	13.8	238.0
Gross loans and advances to customers	1 907.4	284.4	1 155.6	5 269.1	2 090.7	1 879.3	12 586.5
Specific impairment allowance	(15.0)	(2.7)	(6.2)	(11.2)	(6.7)	(6.2)	(48.0)
General impairment allowance	(34.5)	(3.0)	(10.7)	(11.1)	(4.5)	(49.6)	(113.4)
Allowance for impairment losses	(49.5)	(5.7)	(16.9)	(22.3)	(11.2)	(55.8)	(161.4)
Net loans and advances to customers	1 857.9	278.7	1 138.7	5 246.8	2 079.5	1 823.5	12 425.1

(1) The movement in stage 2 balances is primarily due to a reduction in the number of cases which are triggering the Significant Increase in Credit Risk ("SICR") threshold along with a significant amount of stage 2 accounts moving into stage 3.

Accounts that have requested payment break in relation to Covid-19 that were not in arrears at the start of the payment holiday are not considered to be past due for the purpose of IFRS 9 Staging so therefore, could not migrate through the stages due to arrears or forbearance, however all other staging and default triggers could apply as standard.

An analysis of the payment due status of gross loans and advances to customers by UK geographical region is disclosed in Appendix 4, in table 48.

The following table summarises the movement during the period in allowances for impairment losses.

#### Table 15: Movement in allowance for impairment losses

	Stage 1	Stage 2	Stage 3	Total
		£m	£m	£m
Balance as of 1 July 2020	63.5	49.9	48.0	161.4
Charge to the income statement	(10.1)	1.8	72.2	63.9
Write-off's net of recoveries	-	-	(33.1)	(33.1)
Modifications	6.7	(9.2)	2.5	-
Balance as of 30 June 2021	60.1	42.5	89.6	192.2

	Stage 1	Stage 2	Stage 3	Total
		£m	£m	£m
Balance as of 1 July 2019	21.5	8.9	24.2	54.6
Charge to the income statement	51.2	42.8	37.7	131.7
Write-off's net of recoveries	-	-	(13.7)	(13.7)
Modifications	(9.2)	(1.8)	(0.2)	(11.2)
Balance as of 30 June 2020	63.5	49.9	48.0	161.4

As described in Section 9.4.1, the Group's lending is all within the UK; therefore, no analysis of past due and impaired assets on a geographical basis has been disclosed by exposure class.

#### Credit risk management: loans and advances to customers

The Group targets SMEs, retail mortgage and motor finance customers. Credit risk is managed in accordance with lending policies, the risk appetite and the RMF. Lending policies and performance against risk appetites are reviewed regularly. The Group seeks to mitigate credit risk by focusing on business sectors where the Group has specific expertise and through limiting concentrated exposures on larger loans, certain sectors and other factors which can represent higher risk. The Group also seeks to obtain security cover and where appropriate, personal guarantees from borrowers. Affordability checks on income versus outgoings are also made in relation to mortgages to assess a borrower's capacity to meet interest payments.

Credit risks associated with lending are managed through the use of detailed lending policies which outline the approach to lending, underwriting criteria, credit mandates, concentration limits and product terms. The Group maintains a dynamic approach to credit management and aims to take necessary steps if specific issues are identified or if credit performance deteriorates, or is expected to deteriorate, due to borrower, economic or sector-specific weaknesses.

External credit reference agency ratings for borrowers are not typically available in the retail and SME markets in which the Group operates. However, credit risk is assessed through applying a combination of due diligence, reviewing credit reference agency reports, reviewing financial information, credit scores and the use of internal underwriters.

The following tables summarise the Group's approach to mitigating credit risk for each business operating segment:

	Asset Finance	Invoice Finance
Business description	<ul> <li>Originates loan and lease contracts to diversified range of clients</li> <li>Exposures range from public sector organisations to corporates, SMEs, partnerships, sole traders and directors / key staff of trading businesses</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Provides working capital for SMEs utilising accounts receivable as the primary asset</li> <li>May include credit control and collection services for clients and also bad debt protection</li> </ul>
Management of credit risk	<ul> <li>Expert manual underwriting supported by data driven from risk systems</li> <li>Information on individuals behind the business carefully considered</li> <li>Financial and credit information obtained from external credit reference agencies</li> <li>Assets acting as security are carefully valued, future resale value considered</li> <li>Audit and site visits used to track condition and location of certain assets</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Experienced underwriting undertaken manually and not automated</li> <li>Ongoing review of management, financial an operational strength of client's business</li> <li>Credit information and financial crime checks in relation to Directors and Shareholders of clients carefully considered</li> <li>Careful consideration of quality and contractual collectability of underlying receivables acting as assets</li> <li>Risk factor system allows algorithmic early warning indication of asset deterioration and fraud</li> <li>On-going in-life monitoring, client audit and reconciliations performed to manage risk of fraud and default risk associated with client failure</li> <li>Significant diversification at invoice level heavily mitigates concentration risk</li> </ul>

	SME Commercial Mortgages	Residential Mortgages
Business • description •	Commercial mortgages to SME businesses either owning or acquiring business premises Commercial mortgages to Commercial Real Estate ("CRE") property investors, typically to non/partial recourse SPVs secured on mixed retail/residential investments or smaller value CRE property investments	<ul> <li>Residential mortgages for owner-occupied residential properties</li> </ul>

Management	<ul> <li>Independent credit underwriting of all now</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Independent credit underwriting of all now</li> </ul>
Management	<ul> <li>Independent credit underwriting of all new husingson arigination (all arigination facuard an</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Independent credit underwriting of all new business arigination (all arigination focused on</li> </ul>
of credit risk	business origination (all origination focused on	business origination (all origination focused on
	UK domiciled property assets only)	UK domiciled residential property only)
	<ul> <li>Loan-to-Value and Debt Service capacity</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Lending at origination restricted to max 85%</li> </ul>
	matrices applied on a risk-based approach	LTV (except where additional scheme or
	<ul> <li>All facilities supported by independent</li> </ul>	insurance guarantee support is available to
	professional valuation by the Group's valuers	max 95% LTV), minor adverse credit history is
	Collateral security by way of unsupported	acceptable and affordability criteria
	personal guarantees to tie in personal	In-life monitoring of borrower performance and
	commitment, or corporate guarantees, are often	credit profile to identify those borrowers who
	taken	are evidencing some signs of financial stress
	<ul> <li>Financial covenant protection for CRE</li> </ul>	are evidencing some signs of infancial stress
	commercial loans >£1m secured by investment	
	portfolio and/or multiple tenants	
	<ul> <li>Enhanced in-life credit risk management and</li> </ul>	
	stewardship for commercial mortgages, on a	
	risk-based approach, for all exposures >£1m	
	<ul> <li>Early warning signs and back book surveillance,</li> </ul>	
	with individual counterparty cases exhibiting	
	signs of stress/distressed escalated to Watch	
	List for close and intensive monitoring and	
	control	

	Property Development	Buy-to-Let
Business description	<ul> <li>Funding for building and developing residential property</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Private rental sector residential investment mortgages to individual, partnership, LLP and Limited Company landlords</li> </ul>
Management of credit risk	<ul> <li>Loan to Cost and Loan to Gross Development Value matrices applied on a risk-based approach, underpinned by independent Quantity Surveyor ("QS") verification of construction costs (including contingency) and independent professional valuation of completed units</li> <li>All developments subject to independent QS monthly progress monitoring, supplemented by in-house engagement and site visits</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Independent credit underwriting of all new business origination (UK domiciled residential investment property only)</li> <li>Loan-to-Value and Debt Service capacity matrices applied on a risk-based approach</li> <li>For capital and interest repayments the underlying rental income must achieve minimum 1.0x on a stressed basis</li> <li>Face to face interview and property visits for higher value aggregate Buy-to-let mortgages and more complex structures</li> <li>Enhanced in-life credit risk management and stewardship for commercial mortgages, on a risk-based approach, for all exposures &gt;£1m</li> <li>Early warning signs and back book surveillance, with individual counterparty cases exhibiting signs of stress/distressed escalated to Watch List for close and intensive monitoring and control panel of qualified external valuers</li> </ul>

	MotoNovo Finance
Business description	<ul> <li>Provision of a range of hire purchase products to facilitate the acquisition of a motor vehicle</li> <li>Brokers insurance products ancillary to the underlying lease agreement</li> <li>Also provides loan funding to its affiliated network of motor dealerships</li> </ul>
Management of credit risk	<ul> <li>Operate in selected sectors and products, where we have expertise</li> <li>Consistently apply the approved credit policy</li> <li>Where appropriate, obtain physical collateral</li> <li>Undertake robust in-life management of the credit portfolio</li> </ul>

#### Forbearance

Forbearance is defined as any concessionary arrangement that is made for a period of three months or more where financial difficulty is present or imminent. It is inevitable that some borrowers experience financial difficulties which impact their ability to meet their obligations as per the contractual terms. We seek to identify borrowers who are experiencing financial difficulties, as well as contacting borrowers whose loans have gone into arrears, consulting with them in order to ascertain the reason for the difficulties and to establish the best course of action to bring the account up to date. In certain circumstances, where the borrower is experiencing financial distress, we may use forbearance measures to assist the borrower. These are considered on a case-by-case basis and must result in a fair outcome. The forbearance measures are undertaken in order to achieve the best outcome for both the customer and the Group by dealing with financial difficulties and arrears at an early stage.

The most widely used methods of forbearance are temporarily reduced monthly payments, loan term extension, deferral of payment and a temporary or permanent transfer to interest only payments to reduce the borrower's financial pressures. Where the arrangement is temporary, borrowers are expected to resume normal payments within six months.

Both temporary and permanent concessions are reported as forborne for twenty-four months following the end of the concession. Forborne amounts disclosed as stage 1 in the below table relate to such accounts which are now performing but still reported as forborne following the end of concessionary arrangements.

In agreement with the UK Government's alignment with the finance sector to support businesses and customers during the Covid-19 crisis, the Group offered additional forbearance measures, the outcome of which can be found on pages 6-8 and 65 of the ARA.

In all cases, the above definitions are subject to no further concessions being made and the customer's compliance with the new terms.

In the last year, the Group was accredited with the Government-funded CBILS for both asset finance and invoice finance, so we could continue to help SMEs during the Covid-19 pandemic. As the CBILS closed, we became accredited for the Recovery Loan Scheme for both asset finance and invoice finance, allowing us to continue to support SMEs with their recovery. As of 30 June 2021, the Group had provided £138m of Government-backed funding to over 800 SME customers.

The Group's total loan balances in forbearance decreased to £126.8 million as of 30 June 2021 (30 June 2020: £2,001.0 million). The significant reduction was mainly due to payment holidays offered to customers

in all of the Group's portfolios as a result of Covid-19 coming to an end. A more detailed analysis of forbearance measures in place as of 30 June 2021 can be found on page 72 of the ARA.

#### Credit risk mitigation: loans and advances to customers

The Group uses a wide range of techniques to reduce the credit risk of its lending activities. The most basic of these is performing an assessment of the ability of a borrower to service the proposed level of borrowing without distress. However, the risk is further mitigated by obtaining collateral against the funds advanced.

#### Collateral held in respect of loans and advances to customers

The following table summarises collateral held by operating segment.

# Table 16: Collateral held in respect of loans and advances to customers, neither past due nor individually impaired

30 June 2020

30 June 2021

				-	
	Loans and advances neither past due nor individually impaired £m	Fair value of collateral held £m	Loans and advances neither past due nor individually impaired £m	Fair value of collateral held £m	
Asset Finance	1 370.1	877.1	1 549.6	1 027.0	
Invoice Finance	398.9	413.9	245.8	245.8	
SME Commercial Mortgages <sup>1</sup>	926.7	926.7	919.4	876.5	
Buy-to-Let	4 682.9	4 682.9	4 654.5	4 654.5	
Residential Mortgages	1 830.4	1 830.4	1 879.6	1 879.6	
Motor Finance	2 925.1	2 925.1	1 743.4	1 743.4	
	12 134.1	11 656.1	10 992.3	10 426.8	

1 excludes Property Development

#### 9.4.5 Lending book analysis

#### SME Commercial, Buy-to-Let and Residential Mortgages

The principal indicators used to assess the credit security of performing loans are loan-to-value ratios for SME Commercial, Buy-to-Let, Residential Mortgages and MotoNovo Finance. Loan-to-value on indexed origination information on these portfolios is set out in the following tables. Due to the more bespoke nature of the Property Development business, the portfolio is excluded from a number of the following tables, as indicated by the footnotes. Gross Property Development exposure as of 30 June 2021 was £133.7 million (30 June 2020: £245.0 million), and net exposure was £131.2 million (30 June 2020: £244.0 million).

	30 June 2021	30 June 2020	
	£m	£m	
100%+	33.9	4.0	
95-100%	19.8	5.3	
90-95%	36.6	18.2	
85-90%	42.2	18.9	
80-85%	48.3	29.3	
75-80%	71.4	79.2	
70-75%	127.9	116.3	
60-70%	192.0	190.8	
50-60%	174.3	205.6	
0-50%	248.4	229.1	
	994.8	896.7	
Capital repayment	481.5	494.0	
Interest only	513.3	402.7	
	994.8	896.7	
Average loan-to-value percentage	63.00%	60.17%	

# Table 17: SME Commercial Mortgages - loan to value 1

<sup>1</sup> Excludes Property Development

# Table 18: Buy-to-Let mortgages - loan to value

	30 June 2021	30 June 2020
	£m	£m
100%+	10.1	17.7
95-100%	7.2	9.9
90-95%	16.0	17.1
85-90%	47.7	54.6
80-85%	132.5	213.3
75-80%	409.7	722.3
70-75%	942.7	1 274.9
60-70%	1 999.4	1 594.6
50-60%	936.7	753.1
0-50%	657.5	589.4
	5 159.5	5 246.9
Capital repayment	289.4	310.7
Interest only	4 870.1	4 936.2
	5 159.5	5 246.9
Average loan-to-value percentage	61.60%	65.82%

	30 June 2021	30 June 2020
	£m	£m
100%+	5.5	13.4
95-100%	10.6	38.6
90-95%	54.8	178.9
85-90%	145.3	207.9
80-85%	242.4	165.4
75-80%	257.0	207.0
70-75%	256.2	253.0
60-70%	444.1	372.9
50-60%	303.4	267.1
0-50%	416.9	375.4
	2 136.2	2 079.6
Capital repayment	1 960.8	1 885.0
Interest only	175.4	194.6
	2 136.2	2 079.6
Average loan-to-value percentage	60.80%	67.70%

### Table 19: Residential mortgages - loan to value

Lending at higher LTV bandings continues to be largely as a result of the Group's participation in mortgage guarantee schemes. We participated in the Help to Buy ("HTB") mortgage guarantee scheme, which covered lending with an LTV over 85%, until the retirement of this scheme at the end of 2016. Following the cessation of the HTB scheme, we introduced the Mortgage Indemnity Guarantee ("MIG") product to cover all new lending over 80% LTV (excluding fees).

As of 30 June 2021, 96.3% of the exposures with an LTV in excess of 85% relate to either HTB or MIG (30 June 2020: 96.9%). The average indexed LTV for mortgages with a guarantee was 79.6% (30 June 2020: 84.9%). As of 30 June 2021, the average indexed LTV of the non-mortgage guarantee owner occupied book is 56% (30 June 2020: 58%).

#### Property Development

The Group uses "loan-to-gross-development-value" as an indicator of the quality of credit security of performing loans for the Property Development portfolio. Loan-to-gross-development-value is a measure used to monitor the loan balance compared with the expected gross development value once the development is complete. Average loan-to-gross-development-value at origination for Property Development loans as of 30 June 2021 was 61.8% (30 June 2020: 66.1%).

#### Asset Finance

In respect of Asset Finance, collateral is provided by the Group's rights and/or title to the underlying assets, which we are able to repossess in the event of default. Where appropriate, the Group will also obtain additional security, such as parent company or personal guarantees.

Asset Finance also undertakes unsecured lending where the Group has obtained an understanding of the ability of the borrower's business to generate cash flows to service and repay the facilities provided. As of 30 June 2021, the total amount of such unsecured lending was £18.9 million (30 June 2020: £37.0 million).

#### **Invoice Finance**

In respect of Invoice Finance, collateral is provided by the underlying receivables (e.g. trade invoices).

As of 30 June 2021, the average advance rate against the fair value of sales ledger balances which have been assigned to the Group, net of amounts considered to be irrecoverable, is 68.3% (30 June 2020: 67.5%).

In addition to the value of the underlying sales ledger balances, the Group will wherever possible, obtain additional collateral before offering invoice finance facilities to a client. These may include limited personal guarantees from major shareholders, charges over personal and other business property, cross guarantees from associated companies and unlimited warranties in the case of frauds or certain other breaches. These additional forms of security are impractical to value given their nature.

	30 June 2021	30 June 2020
	£m	£m
100%+	1 000.8	642.9
95-100%	393.1	237.6
90-95%	364.0	214.5
85-90%	293.4	170.4
80-85%	226.9	126.8
75-80%	172.1	97.6
70-75%	128.2	71.9
60-70%	172.9	96.5
50-60%	107.9	57.5
0-50%	93.5	51.2
	2 952.8	1 766.9

# Table 20: Motor Finance - Ioan-to-value

#### MotoNovo Finance

In respect of MotoNovo Finance, collateral is provided by our rights and/or title to the underlying assets, which we are able to repossess in the event of default. A proportion of loans are sanctioned at LTVs higher than 100% of the estimated retail value and although the whole agreement is secured on the vehicle there may be a shortfall in the event of repossession. Loans where LTV exceeds 100% are subject to more stringent underwriting criteria. MotoNovo Finance as of 30 June 2021 had £1,000.8m of exposures at LTV of 100%+, representing 33.9% of the portfolio.

#### 9.4.6 Lending book credit risk concentration

Concentration risk exists through having high or excessive exposures to a concentration of certain counterparties, regions or sectors.

Concentration risks from lending activities are managed and controlled through the adoption of concentration limits tailored to each business area. Concentration of credit risk by product type, size of asset, geographic location and sector is also monitored.

Although there is diversification within the Group's portfolios and operations, there are certain features of the Group's activity which contain an element of concentration:

- The Group operates across the whole of the UK and has a regionally diversified lending portfolio with a larger proportion of balances in the more significant regional economies such as London, the South East and the North West. As of 30 June 2021, approximately 36.5% (30 June 2020: 36.2%) of the Group's lending was to the South East and Greater London, reflecting a concentration of the market in that region and historically higher asset value growth trends. For further details on geographical concentration, see the Risk Report of the ARA (page 74).
- Notwithstanding the range of products offered, a significant proportion of the Group's mortgage loans are buy-to-let mortgages. As of 30 June 2021, 38.1% (30 June 2020: 41.0%) of total loans were buy-to-let mortgages with an average LTV of 63.6% (30 June 2020: 65.8%).
- Notwithstanding the range of customer types, a significant proportion of the Group's loans are within the "Personal", "Financial" and "Real-estate, professional services and support activities" industry sectors. As of 30 June 2021, approximately 83.9% (30 June 2020: 79.0%) of the Group's loans and advances were to customers within these three sectors (see Table 12).
- Of less materiality for the Group but notable within the Invoice Finance portfolio is our Football Finance product introduced in November 2017 with a current exposure of £128.2 million which represents 28.9% of the portfolio (30 June 2020: £147.7 million: 50%).

## 9.4.7 Credit risk management: treasury

Credit risk exists where the Group has acquired securities or placed cash deposits with other financial institutions as part of its treasury portfolio of assets. The Group considers the credit risk of treasury assets to be relatively low. No assets are held for speculative purposes or actively traded. Liquid assets are held as part of the Group's liquidity buffer (see Section 10).

Treasury credit risk is mitigated via counterparty limit setting and monitoring, as well as derivative collateralisation where appropriate. Over 90% of derivatives are now Centrally Cleared through the London Clearing House ("LCH").

The table below sets out information about the credit quality of treasury financial assets. As of 30 June 2021, no treasury assets were past due or impaired (30 June 2020: £nil). The analysis presented below is derived using ratings provided by Standard and Poor's, Moody's and Fitch. The lowest rating from the credit agencies for each of the counterparties is used as the basis for assessing the credit risk of treasury financial assets.

# Table 21: Credit quality of Treasury

	Exposure value by external rating					
	AAA	AA+ to AA-	A+ to A-	BBB+	BBB	Total
30 June 2021	£m	£m	£m	£m	£m	£m
Cash and balances at central banks and Loans & advances to banks	-	922.5	-	-	-	922.5
Debt securities:						
High quality liquid assets included in the liquidity buffer	1 489.0	510.1	-	-	-	1 999.5
Asset backed securities	115.4	-	-	-	-	115.4
Derivatives held for risk management purposes	-	-	19.6	-	-	19.6
	1 604.4	1 433.0	19.6	-	-	3 057.0

	Exposure value by external rating					
	AAA	AA+ to AA-	A+ to A-	BBB+	BBB	Total
<u>30 June 2020</u>	£m	£m	£m	£m	£m	£m
Cash and balances at central banks and Loans & advances to banks	-	552.6	15.3	203.1		771.0
Debt securities:						
High quality liquid assets included in the liquidity buffer	1 230.5	165.5	5.3	425.4	-	1 826.7
Asset backed securities	114.4	-	-	-	-	114.4
Derivatives held for risk management purposes	-	-	9.1	0.2	-	9.3
	1 344.9	718.1	29.7	628.7	-	2 721.4

Standard and Poor's disclaimer notice in relation to the ratings information set out above:

"This may contain information obtained from third parties, including ratings from credit ratings agencies such as Standard & Poor's. Reproduction and distribution of third-party content in any form is prohibited except with the prior written permission of the related third party. Third party content providers do not guarantee the accuracy, completeness, timeliness or availability of any information, including ratings, and are not responsible for any errors or omissions (negligent or otherwise), regardless of the cause, or for the results obtained from the use of such content. THIRD PARTY CONTENT PROVIDERS GIVE NO EXPRESS OR IMPLIED WARRANTIES, INCLUDING, BUT NOT LIMITED TO, ANY WARRANTIES OF MERCHANTABILITY OR FITNESS FOR A PARTICULAR PURPOSE OR USE. THIRD PARTY CONTENT PROVIDERS SHALL NOT BE LIABLE FOR ANY DIRECT, INDIRECT, INCIDENTAL, EXEMPLARY, COMPENSATORY, PUNITIVE, SPECIAL OR CONSEQUENTIAL DAMAGES, COSTS, EXPENSES, LEGAL FEES, OR LOSSES (INCLUDING LOST INCOME OR PROFITS AND OPPORTUNITY COSTS OR LOSSES CAUSED BY NEGLIGENCE) IN CONNECTION WITH ANY USE OF THEIR CONTENT, INCLUDING RATINGS. Credit ratings are statements of opinions and are not statements of fact or recommendations to purchase hold or sell securities. They do not address the suitability of securities for investment purposes and should not be relied on as investment advice."

Counterparty credit limits are established for authorised counterparties and are updated on a regular basis to take account of any ratings migration and the Group's assessment of the credit risk for the institution. The total credit exposure limits set for each counterparty or group of connected counterparties shall not exceed 25% of the Group's eligible capital resources, made up of Tier 1 and Tier 2 regulatory capital resources, with Tier 2 capital not exceeding one third of Tier 1. However, each counterparty is assessed on individual merit and against concentration limits. Any exposure to central banks, multilateral

development banks, other public sector institutions and other entities which carry zero per cent risk weighting as per the Standardised Approach, are exempt from the counterparty and exposure limits. The exposure limit for each institution is maintained in an Authorised Counterparties List which is reviewed at least annually or more frequently should a change in ratings occur.

A description of the main credit risk management techniques in place for each of the key treasury asset types is detailed below:

Treasury asset type	Description of credit risk management techniques
Cash placements	Credit risk of Group and treasury counterparties is controlled through the treasury credit risk policy which limits the maximum exposure by entity with which the Group can place cash deposits. All institutions need to be rated at investment grade at the time of placement.
High quality liquid assets included in	As part of the liquidity buffer, the Group holds a portfolio of gilts and Supranational bonds.
the liquidity buffer	These instruments are AAA or AA+ to AA- rated, and typically represent sovereign risk.
Asset backed securities ("ABS")	The majority of these investments are in AAA or AA+ to AA- rated bonds secured on UK originated assets.
	All investments are in Sterling; no foreign currency bonds have been bought. The portfolio has credit enhancement, providing principal protection against losses.
Derivatives	Credit risk on derivatives is controlled through a policy of only entering into contracts with a central clearing house with an investment grade credit rating.
	Most derivative contracts are collateralised through the receipt/payment of daily cash margin calls to cover the mark to market value of the asset/liability.
	In general, under master netting agreements, the amounts owed by each counterparty that are due on a single day in respect of all transactions outstanding in the same currency are aggregated into a single net amount being payable by one party to the other.

The table below provides details of the exposures to counterparty credit risk for derivative contracts as of 30 June 2021.

	30 June 2021	30 June 2020	
	£m	£m	
Interest rate contracts	18.9	9.0	
Equity index contracts	0.2	0.1	
Foreign exchange contracts	0.1	0.0	
Gross positive fair value of contracts	19.2	9.1	
Netting of negative FV contracts	(17.1)	(8.4)	
Potential future credit exposure (net)	16.1	12.4	
Less: netting benefits <sup>1</sup>	(1.0)	4.0	
Netted current credit exposure	18.2	13.1	
Net derivative credit exposure	18.2	13.1	

# Table 22: Net exposures to counterparty credit risk for derivative contracts

<sup>(1)</sup>Netting benefits shown after the inclusion of potential future credit exposures

Aldermore clears all eligible derivative exposures through the London Clearing House via an intermediary.

As of 30 June 2021, the Group had no public credit rating and no exposure to credit derivatives (30 June 2020: nil).

Wrong way risk is defined as the risk that occurs when exposure to a counterparty is adversely correlated with the credit quality of that counterparty (i.e. the size of the exposure increases at the same time as the risk of the counterparty being unable to meet that obligation increases). As the Group primarily enters into interest rate basis and foreign exchange swap contracts, it had very limited exposure to wrong way risk as of 30 June 2021. Consequently, wrong way risk is considered immaterial to the Group and no further disclosures have been made in respect of this risk.

# Impairment of financial assets classified as fair value through other comprehensive income ("FVOCI")

Impairment provisions for incurred losses are recognised in the income statement which does not reduce the carrying amount of the investment security but is transferred from the FVOCI reserve in equity. Other fair value movements are recognised in other comprehensive income and presented in the FVOCI reserve in equity. On disposal, the gain or loss accumulated in equity is reclassified to the income statement. Changes in impairment provisions attributable to the effective interest rate method are reflected as a component of interest income.

If in a subsequent period, the fair value of an impaired FVOCI debt security increases and the increase can be related objectively to an event occurring after the impairment loss was recognised, then the impairment loss is reversed.

As of 30 June 2021, no FVOCI debt securities were past due or impaired (30 June 2020: £nil).

# **10 Liquidity risk**

Liquidity risk is the risk that the Group is unable to meet financial obligations, such as repaying depositors and counterparties, as they fall due, or can only do so at excessive cost.

# 10.1 Liquidity risk principles

- Maintain a sufficient portfolio of cash and High-Quality Liquid Assets ("HQLA") to absorb liquidity shocks;
- Perform a comprehensive annual Internal Liquidity Adequacy Assessment Process ("ILAAP") assessment of all material liquidity risks and meet internal buffers on an ongoing basis; and
- Monitor the Group's liquidity position on a daily basis, with intra-month escalation of material risks as appropriate.

To protect the Group and its depositors against liquidity risk, the Group maintains a liquidity buffer which is based on its liquidity needs under stressed conditions. The liquidity buffer is monitored on a daily basis to ensure there are sufficient liquid assets at all times to cover cash flow movements and fluctuations in funding, enabling the Group to meet all financial obligations and to support anticipated asset growth.

## 10.2 Liquidity risk management

The management of liquidity is centralised within the Group Treasury function and controlled through adherence to the Liquidity Risk Management Framework and underlying policies. Liquidity risks are specifically considered by the Asset, Liability & Finance Committee ("ALFCo") each month. ALFCo is the primary Executive committee to oversee the management of liquidity risks under delegated authority from the Board, with additional oversight provided by the Board Risk Committee ("BRC").

The Group maintains a stable and diverse funding structure in order to hold sufficient and adequate liquidity resources to support the business for at least 91 days under a range of severe stress scenarios (including regulatory requirements).

Through the ILAAP process, the Group assesses the level of liquidity necessary to prudently cover systemic and idiosyncratic risks. The ILAAP process determines the appropriate liquidity buffer, taking into account the specific nature of the deposit base and other liquidity risk drivers. The ILAAP requires the Group to consider all material liquidity risks in detail and to document an analysis of each key liquidity risk driver and to set a liquidity risk appetite against each of these drivers. The 2021 ILAAP was approved by Board in March 2021 and was implemented 1<sup>st</sup> April 2021. The below exposures and metrics are based on the latest ILAAP as of June 2021 month end.

The Group holds a liquidity buffer, which was analysed as of 30 June 2021 and 30 June 2020 as follows:

#### Table 23: Liquidity portfolio

	30 June 2021	30 June 2020
	£m	£m
Bank of England reserve account and unencumbered cash and bank balances	652.3	512.6
UK Gilts and Treasury bills, Supranational bonds and Covered bonds (level 1 eligible)	1 829.6	1 314.9
Covered Bonds (Level 2 eligible)	54.5	73.4
Asset Backed Securities	115.4	114.4
Total liquidity buffer	2 651.8	2 015.3
As a percentage of funding liabilities	15.06%	14.30%

The Group has no exposure to foreign currency in respect of the liquidity buffer.

The Group's assets are primarily funded by customer (personal/business) deposits. As per the Group's Liquidity Risk Management Framework there are a number of product and maturity concentration limits in place to ensure funding sources are diversified. The Group maintains a high-quality asset portfolio as shown in Table 23 above.

The Group uses hedges primarily to mitigate against interest rate risks in its assets and liability portfolios. The derivatives are typically between one and five years. Collateral calls are managed by Treasury on a daily basis. For the purpose of the Liquidity Coverage Ratio the "historic lookback" approach has been used to set the required level of liquidity against future calls.

Further details on the Group's approach to mitigating and monitoring liquidity risk can be found on page 62 of the ARA.

#### 10.3 Measurement

A series of key performance indicators are used to monitor both short and long-term liquidity requirements including risk appetite and Board approved metrics, liquidity ratios, cashflow forecasting with wholesale and retail funding profiles, early warning indicators based on regulatory requirements and stress test survival periods. Criteria and limits are in place to ensure high quality securities are available as part of the liquid asset buffer.

#### 10.4 Monitoring

Liquidity is actively monitored on a daily basis and reported on a monthly basis through the ALFCo and ERC. A range of market and internal warning indicators are monitored for early signs of liquidity risk. There are a range of qualitative and quantitative measures including the close monitoring of the Liquidity Coverage Ratio ("LCR") and the Net Stable Funding Ratio ("NSFR").

The Group's LCR aims to improve the resilience of the bank to liquidity risk over a 30-day period. As of 30 June 2021 the LCR was 453.2% (30 June 2020: 397.1%). This is well in excess of the minimum standard of 100% mandated by the PRA.

#### Table 24: Quantitative LCR information

	Total adjusted value			
	30 Jun 31 Mar 31 Dec 2021 2021 2020	31 Dec 2020	30 Sep 2020	
	£m	£m	£m	£m
21 Liquidity Buffer	2 583.9	2 574.5	2 713.3	2 216.3
22 Total Net Cash Outflows	570.2	604.8	535.5	505.5
23 Liquidity Coverage Ratio %	453%	426%	507%	438%

The Group's NSFR aims to ensure that the bank has an acceptable amount of stable funding to support assets over a one-year period of extended stress. As of 30 June 2021, the NSFR was 131.6% (30 June 2020: 123.6%) which is in excess of the minimum level of 100% as proposed in the Basel 295 publication and finalised within the EC Net Stable Funding Requirement delegated legislation, effective 1 January 2018.

#### 10.5 Asset encumbrance

An asset is currently defined as encumbered if it has been pledged as collateral against an existing liability and, as a result, is no longer available to the Group to secure funding, satisfy collateral needs or be sold to reduce the funding requirement. An asset is therefore, categorised as unencumbered if it has not been pledged against an existing liability. The Group monitors and manages total balance sheet encumbrance via a Board-approved risk appetite framework.

As of 30 June 2021, the Group had £4,850.3 million (30 June 2020: £4,397.9 million) of encumbered assets, and £11,638.5 million (30 June 2020: £10,925.7 million) of unencumbered assets. The tables in Appendix 5 disclose the Group's encumbered and unencumbered assets in the templates specified by the EBA.

# **11 Market risk**

The risk arising from adverse movements in market prices given long or short positions in impacted assets and/ or liabilities.

#### 11.1 Market risk principles

- Seek to match the interest rate structure of assets and liabilities, creating a natural hedge;
- Where a natural hedge is not possible or desirable, hedge any material market risk exposure by using financial instruments as outlined in the Treasury Risk Limits and Standards;
- Perform a comprehensive assessment of market risk drivers as part of the Internal Capital Adequacy Assessment Process ("ICAAP") and assess new/emerging risks on an ongoing basis;
- Maintain a strong control framework to ensure exposures are managed in line with risk appetite; and
- Monitor the Group's Market Risk exposure on a regular basis (including daily monitoring), with intra-month escalations as appropriate.

#### 11.2 Management of interest rate risk

Interest rate risk is controlled through the Market Risk Management Framework with daily monitoring by the Asset and Liability Management function and reported to the Asset, Liability & Finance Committee ("ALFCo"), Executive Risk Committee ("ERC") and Board Risk Committee ("BRC") on a monthly basis.

#### 11.2.1 Asset-liability gap risk

Where possible the Group seeks to match the interest rate structure of assets with liabilities, creating a natural hedge. Where this is not possible, it will enter into interest rate swap transactions to convert the fixed rate exposures on loans and advances, customer deposits and available-for-sale securities into variable Sterling Overnight Index Average ("SONIA") exposures.

Given timing differences and the price of hedging small gaps, it is not cost effective to have an absolute match of variable rate assets and liabilities. Internally the risk exposure of the overall asset-liability interest rate profile is monitored against approved limits using changes in the economic value of the balance sheet as a result of a modelled one basis point shift in the interest yield curve. As defined in CRD IV, the economic value is also monitored using a 200 basis points parallel up and down shift. For effective management of Interest Rate Risk in the Banking Book ("IRRBB"), the Group also assesses the impact of rate shocks to net interest income, the impact of non-parallel shocks and changes to prepayment profiles of customers.

The economic value impact of a two per cent shift in the interest yield curve for each period is as follows (note: potential losses are shown as negative numbers):

	30 June 2021	30 June 2020
	£m	£m
2 per cent shift up of the yield curve:		
As of year end	(0.6)	(3.1)
Average of month end positions reported to ALFCo	(4.1)	(7.2)
2 per cent shift down of the yield curve:		
As of year end	0.9	0.9
Average of month end positions reported to ALFCo	1.9	1.7

## Table 25: Reported risk measures

N.B.: As approved by ALFCo, the economic value calculation incorporates an interest rate floor assumption based on the EBA Supervisory Outlier Test. The floor starts at -1.0% at the overnight tenor and increases linearly to 0.0% at the 20-year tenor.

#### 11.2.2 Basis risk

Basis risk is generated by banking book items that reprice in relation to different reference rates. This risk arises from the imperfect correlation in the adjustment of rates earned and paid, even when the instruments have similar repricing characteristics.

Basis risk is measured using historical Value at Risk analysis. It measures the 12-month Net Interest Income impact of the worst three-month shock (at 99% confidence) using five years of historical data, As of 30 June 2021, the amount of the basis risk sensitivity measured was £13.2 million (30 June 2020: £6.3 million).

The increase in exposure year over year was driven by an increase in managed rate liabilities and a reduction in LIBOR-linked assets due to the Group's transition programme. The Group adopted the amendments to IAS 39 and IFRS 9 Interest Rate Benchmark Reform in the current year. In accordance with the transition provisions, the amendments have been adopted retrospectively to hedging relationships that existed at the start of the financial period or were designated thereafter. For basis risk, Aldermore conservatively assumes that managed rate liability pricing is linked to the top quartile market pay rates. With respect to LIBOR, historic interest rate shocks benefitted a net asset position as the rate widened relative to other reference rates.

#### 11.2.3 Hedge accounting and hedge effectiveness

Where possible, the Group seeks to account for the derivatives used within hedges which meet the qualification requirements of IAS 39 as fair value portfolio hedges (see accounting policy note 2(j) and note 18 of the 2021 ARA). All hedges are included in the interest rate risk reports and are reviewed on a monthly basis by ALFCo. The effectiveness of hedging relationships is reviewed and reported on a monthly basis by the Finance function.

#### 11.3 Other market risks

The Group does not carry out proprietary trading or hold any positions in assets or equity which are actively traded. However, the Group does hold a portfolio of highly rated asset backed securities and a portfolio of liquid assets (primarily gilts, Covered, Agency and Supranational bonds) which are used for liquidity buffer purposes. Further information on the Group's liquidity buffer is provided in Section 10.

The interest rate risk on these liquid assets is considered as part of the asset-liability gap risk assessment described above. The instruments are also exposed to other forms of market risk, e.g. credit spread risk. Prices are monitored on a day-to-day basis to ensure that the Group is aware of any material change in value. Formal monthly prices are subject to independent review and are reported to ALFCo, with escalation to ERC and BRC as required. The Group has repurchase facilities in place, which can be used in the first instance to obtain liquidity when necessary, which avoids the need to sell the liquidity buffer assets and so crystallise any price gain or loss due to market price movements.

# 12 Compliance, Conduct and Financial Crime Risk

Compliance risk, as defined in the Group's RMF, is the risk of legal or regulatory sanctions, material financial loss, or loss to reputation as a result of a failure to comply with applicable laws, regulations, codes of conduct and standards of good practice.

Conduct risk, as defined in the Group's RMF, is the risk of legal or regulatory sanctions, material financial loss, or loss to reputation as a result of causing unfair outcomes or detriment to customers and/or undermining market integrity as a result of the Group's behaviour, decision making, activities or processes.

Financial Crime risk, as defined in the Group's RMF, is the risk of legal or regulatory sanctions, material financial loss, or loss to reputation as a result of the Group's activities being used by criminals for the purposes of money laundering, terrorist financing, bribery and corruption and fraud.

# 12.1 Compliance, Conduct and Financial Crime Risk Principles

The Group aims to ensure that Compliance, Conduct and Financial Crime are managed by:

- Maintaining a well-defined and embedded process for regulatory and legislative horizon scanning and preparation for confirmed and potential changes;
- Maintaining processes that focus on fair customer outcomes, including the use of metrics on staff performance, training, customer feedback, complaints and product cancellation;
- Ensuring that recruitment and training processes have a clear customer focus, including the use of mandatory training modules;
- Ensuring the approach to remuneration incentivises fair customer outcomes and prudent decision-making within risk appetite;
- Performing the requisite financial crime checks on all customers including money laundering, sanctions and fraud at origination – and where appropriate, on an ongoing basis. Tightly monitoring remedial actions relating to financial crime breaches; and
- Providing risk appetite data and commentary, assessed against triggers and limits, as part of the monthly risk appetite report, driving management actions where appropriate.

# 13 Operational risk

Operational risk, as defined in the Group's RMF, is the risk of loss resulting from inadequate or failed internal processes, people and systems or from external events. Within Aldermore Group, this risk includes business continuity and resilience, information technology, information security, cyber, change management, third party, tax, legal, people, payments and financial control and reporting risks.

#### 13.1 Operational risk principles

The Group's Operational Risk Management Framework ("ORMF") aims to ensure that the Group:

- Maintains a comprehensive Risk and Control Self-Assessment ("RCSA") process. Assesses the efficacy of these controls by maintaining a robust approach to Key Control testing;
- Maintains the risk event reporting process;
- Systematically monitors operational losses on both a net (overall financial impact) and gross (excluding recoveries) basis to understand risk profile and identify trends;
- Ensures a significant emphasis on IT resilience given the pace of evolution of the business and continued exposure to the risk of cyber-crime;
- Maintains appropriate insurance arrangements;
- Mandates detailed and coherent Committee reporting that brings together a diverse range of supporting risks; and
- Ensures appropriate risk appetite metrics are identified and tracked on a monthly basis against a set of triggers and limits, driving management actions where appropriate.

#### 13.2 Operational risk management

The management of operational risk remains a key area of management focus. Operational risks are managed on a day-to-day basis across the Group using a Risk and Control Self-Assessment ("RCSA") process, which includes testing of key controls, and is supported by a Six-Monthly Senior Management attestation. Monthly reporting is produced to aggregate information from all tools in the ORMF to provide a comprehensive view of the operational risk profile along with tracking adherence to the ORMF standards. The key operational risks are developed into scenarios for capital modelling as part of the Group's Pillar 2 assessment.

The Group regularly reviews its operational risk management framework to ensure its alignment with FirstRand and the Basel Committee on Banking Supervision criteria for the sound management of operational risk.

At the time of writing, the ICAAP Annual Report was still in production and the UK was in a position whereby more than 78% of the UK population (aged 16+) had received a double dose vaccination and a number of restrictions that were in place at the time of the last financial year report had now been lifted (though there are regional differences between the approaches of the respective UK Governments). The Aldermore Group has introduced a blended working approach (which was piloted in August 2021) to encourage staff members to return to the office safely in limited numbers to a new way of working following almost 18 months of working from home for many staff. In terms of business response, the business has stabilised to a large extent with measures such as Early Warning Indicators in place which are tracked closely to give foresight into any potentially concerning trends.

#### 13.3 Operational risk capital calculation approach

The operational risk requirement for the Group under Pillar 1 is calculated using the Basic Indicator Approach, whereby a 15% multiplier is applied to the historical average net interest and fee income of the last three years based on audited financial statements. The capital requirement calculated under this approach as of 30 June 2021 was £53.5 million (30 June 2020: £47.3 million). The table below shows movements in RWAs for operational risk (as shown in Table 3) during the period.

#### Table 26: Operational risk RWA's flow statement and minimum Pillar 1 capital

	£m
RWAs as of 1 July 2020	591.6
Revenue generation	76.9
RWAs as of 30 June 2021	668.5
Operational risk Pillar 1 capital requirement as of 30 June 2021	53.5
	£m
RWAs as of 1 July 2019	534.2
Revenue generation	57.4
RWAs as of 30 June 2020	591.6
Operational risk Pillar 1 capital requirement as of 30 June 2020	47.3

# 14 Reputational risk

The Group defines reputational risk as the potential for negative consequences arising from a failure to meet the expectations and standards of customers, investors, regulators or other stakeholders during the conduct of any of our business activities.

Reputational risk typically arises from failures in our controls of other Principal Risks such as operational, conduct or credit risk. However, the Group also recognises that we are exposed to reputational risks that can arise from a range of sources including those of our customers, the markets they operate in and their business models. In addition, reputational risk may also arise due to the Group's association with elements of the external environment, either in the course of its own activities or from events impacting the wider financial services industry.

# 14.1 Reputational risk principles

The Group's reputational risk appetite, exposure, and approach aim to ensure that the Group:

- Assess the impact of reputational risk at the 'reputational risk forum' chaired by the Chief Risk Officer.
- Maintain a clear and explicit set of reputational risk policy requirements to which all colleagues must adhere to, and
- Ensure that the reputational impact of changes to products, pricing, systems and processes is formally considered at the relevant committees and fora.

# **15 Securitisation**

#### 15.1 Objectives in relation to securitisation

The Group engages in securitisation activities to raise funding from the capital markets and support liquidity requirements in the purchase of highly rated third-party securitisation issues.

#### 15.2 Issued and retained securitisation positions

The Group has securitised a portion of mortgage and auto loans by transferring the loans to special purpose entities ("SPE") controlled but not owned by the Group.

The Group continues to recognise the mortgage and auto loans on its own balance sheet after the transfer because the risks relating to the underlying mortgage and auto pools, and rewards through the receipt of substantially all of the profits or losses on the securitised loans, remain with the Group. These assets are held at amortised cost.

There are no specific capital requirements for the SPE and due to the fact that there has not been a transfer of assets, there are no risk weighted asset amounts for any positions it holds in the securitisations. These continue to be calculated in line with capital requirements applied to the underlying mortgage assets.

The Group administers the SPE and receives fees as it continues to service the loans. The Group remains as the servicer to the portfolios and as the cash manager for the mortgage transactions.

For mortgage transactions, the notes issued as part of a securitisation are divided into separate classes with the Class Z notes being retained by the Group for risk retention purposes. This means that any shortfall in income would firstly be borne by any reserve funds within the structure and would then transfer as losses to the Group as Z noteholders. This means there is effectively no significant risk transfer of credit risk away from the Group. The auto ABS transaction featured a full capital stack structure, which would allow for the placement of mezzanine and junior positions. As of 30 June 2021, the mezzanine and first loss notes remained retained. As a result, the Group does not benefit from lower regulatory capital requirements in respect of these securitised assets.

#### 15.3 Warehouse Facility

Aldermore Group entered into a private warehouse facility in September 2019 using auto loans, originated by MotoNovo Finance called MotoMore. The facility provides funding flexibility on both a committed and uncommitted basis to complement other securitisation activity.

lssuer	Notes	30 June 2021	30 June 2020	Moody's	Standard & Poor's	Fitch
Oak No. 1 PLC	Class Z VFN	-	39.5	Not rated	n/a	Not rated
Oak No. 2 PLC	Class Z VFN	39.5	37.6	Not rated	n/a	Not rated
Oak No. 3 PLC	Class Z VFN	37.6	-	Not rated	n/a	Not rated
MotoMore	Sub Note	43.4	43.4	n/a	n/a	n/a
Turbo Finance 9 PLC	Sub Note	64.2	-	Mixed rated	Mixed rated	n/a

# Table 27: Retained securitisation positions

#### 15.4 External Credit Assessment Institutions used for securitisations

The Group utilised the services of the recognised External Credit Assessment Institutions ("ECAIs") Moody's, Fitch and S&P Global to rate the securitisation transactions in issue. The ratings assigned are based on the ability of the structure to allow for the timely payment of interest and the ultimate payment of principal of each of the rated Notes. As part of the ratings process, each of the agencies is committed to ongoing transaction monitoring to ensure that, in their view, the assigned ratings remain an appropriate reflection of the issued Notes' credit risk.

#### 15.5 Risks inherent in issued and retained securitisation positions

The principal risks that are inherent in securitised mortgage assets are:

- Credit risk;
- Liquidity risk; and
- Market risk.

The Group retains some of its exposure to credit risk and interest rate risk for the securitised mortgage and auto loan assets. The processes undertaken by the Group to monitor changes in the credit risk of securitised assets are consistent with those described for non-securitised assets in Section 9.

## Table 28: Impaired status of exposures securitised

	30 June 2021	30 June 2020
	£m	£m
Carrying value per statement of financial position	1146.6	795.4
Impaired and past due	6.2	8.1

The balances in the table above are in relation to both residential mortgages and auto loans. As of 30 June 2021, the total outstanding externally issued securitisation debt was £1,087.4 million (30 June 2020: £514.3 million).

As of 30 June 2021, the total value of outstanding retained securities was £184.2 million (30 June 2020: £120.5 million), as detailed in Table 27.

The SPE's are subject to the risk of insufficiency of funds on any interest payment date as a result of payments being made late by the borrowers of the underlying loans after the end of the relevant collection period. This risk is addressed in respect of the Notes by the provision of liquidity from the General Reserve Fund.

In order to mitigate the interest rate risk to which the securitised assets are exposed, the SPE's, Oak No. 2 PLC, Oak No.3 PLC, Turbo 9 PLC and MotoMore entered into an interest rate swap agreement to receive a rate of interest based on the securitised mortgages and auto loans and paying a rate inherent in the debt issuances.

#### **15.6 Purchased securitisation positions**

The purchase of securities provides the Group with a diversified source of investment income from the assets held for liquidity management purposes. Purchased securitisation positions (also called Asset-Backed-Securities) are those whose income payments and hence value are derived from and collateralised by a specified pool of underlying assets.

Investment in purchased securitisation positions is undertaken within a clearly defined credit risk policy. Market risk is monitored in accordance with the Group's Market Risk policy. Liquidity risk is managed in accordance with the Liquidity Policy and ILAAP.

#### 15.6.1 Accounting policies for purchased securitisation positions

The Group's investments in securitisation positions originated by non-Group entities are held as "Debt securities" and are classified as fair value through other comprehensive income ("FVOCI"). These are initially measured at fair value plus transaction costs which are directly attributable to the asset. Subsequently, they are measured at fair value based on current quoted bid prices in active markets for identical assets that the Group can access at the reporting date. For those securities where there is no active market or the debt securities are unlisted, the fair values are based on valuation techniques including discounted cash flow analysis, with reference to relevant market rates, and other commonly used valuation

techniques. Impairment losses are recognised in the income statement. Other fair value changes are recognised in other comprehensive income and presented in the FVOCI reserve in equity.

#### 15.6.2 Exposures to purchased securitisation positions

The Group employs a ratings-based approach for calculating risk weighted exposures on its securitisation portfolio. The Group's total exposure to purchased securitisation positions as of 30 June 2021 was £115.4 million by market value (30 June 2020: £114.4 million), with mortgage-backed securities accounting for the entirety of this exposure. The following table shows the breakdown of these exposures split by credit quality steps with associated external credit assessment ratings.

		•		•	Exposure	e values
Credit	Risk weights		Ratings		30 June 2021	30 June 2020
quality step	%	S&P	Moody's	Fitch	£m	£m
1	20	AAA to AA-	Aaa to Aa3	AAA to AA-	115.4	114.4
2	50	A+ to A-	A1 to A3	A+ to A-	-	-
3	100	BBB+ to BBB-	Baa1 to Baa3	BBB+ to BBB-	-	-
4	350	BB+ to BB-	Ba1 to Ba 3	BB+ to BB-	-	-
5	1250	B+ and below	B1 and below	B+ and below	-	-
					115.4	114.4

# Table 29: Aggregate exposure to purchased securitisation positions

No purchased re-securitisation positions were held by the Group at 30 June 2021 (30 June 2020 : Nil)

The following table shows the breakdown of purchased positions by exposure type.

# Table 30: Aggregate amount of purchased securitisation positions by exposure type

	30 June 2021	30 June 2020
	£m	£m
Residential mortgages	115.4	114.4
Total	115.4	114.4

# 16 Participation in Bank of England funding schemes – Term Funding Scheme ("TFS&TFSME")

The TFS was introduced during 2016 by the Bank of England to provide term funding to banks at rates close to Bank of England Base Rate. The aim of the scheme is to reinforce the transmission of Base Rate cuts to households and businesses. The TFS allows the Group to borrow up to 5% of their existing loan book, as well as extra funds equal to the increase in net lending in the coming months, from the Bank of England at a cost of Base Rate plus a TFS Fee. The scheme ended on 28 February 2018 and the term of each transaction is four years, pushing the final repayment date out to February 2022.

As of 30 June 2021, the Group had pre-positioned £3,463.2 million (30 June 2020: £2,990.2) of mortgages with the Bank of England, which are available for use as collateral for participation in the scheme. Under the terms of the TFS, the Group had drawn down cash of £1,671.0 million from the Bank of England as of 30 June 2021, with £946m of repayments made during the year to 30 June 2021.

The TFSME was introduced following the Covid-19 outbreak in Q1 2020 and is designed similarly to the original Term Funding Scheme but with additional incentives for Small and Medium-sized Enterprises and complements other schemes announced by the BoE in order to support households and businesses during a period of economic disruption. The scheme opened for drawings on 15 April 2020 and provides four-year funding at rates very close to bank rate and entitles eligible participants to borrow at least 10% of their stock of real economic lending. As of 30 June 2021, Aldermore had £600m of outstanding TFSME drawings.

# 16.1 Accounting for Bank of England Schemes

Loans and advances over which the Group transfers its rights to the collateral thereon to the Bank of England under the lending schemes are not derecognised from the statement of financial position, as the Group retains substantially all the risks and rewards of ownership, including all cash flows arising from the loans and advances and exposure to credit risk. The corresponding obligation is recognised as a liability at amortised cost within "Amounts due to banks". Interest is accrued over the life of the agreement on an Effective Interest Rate basis.

# 17 Governance

Full details of the Group's corporate governance structure, including details of the Group's Directors can be found on page 36 in the Group's Annual Report and Accounts. Additional disclosures required under CRD V in relation to governance arrangements are presented in this section.

# 17.1 Directorships held by members of the Board

The number of external directorships and partnerships, including their roles within the Group, held by the Executive and Non-Executive Directors who served on the Board as of 30 June 2021 are detailed below.

# Table 31: Directorships held by members of the Board

Name	Position	Directorships <sup>(6)</sup>
Pat Butler	Chairman	3 (Non-Executive)
Steven Cooper (1)	Executive Director	4 (1 Executive, 3 Non-Executive)
Claire Cordell	Executive Director	1 (Executive)
Hetash Kellan	Non-Executive Shareholder Director	2 (1 Executive, 1 Non-Executive)
Alan Pullinger	Non-Executive Shareholder Director	2 (1 Executive, 1 Non-Executive)
Richard Banks (2)	Independent Non-Executive Director	3 (Non-Executive)
Desmond Crowley	Independent Non-Executive Director	3 (Non-Executive)
John Hitchins	Independent Non-Executive Director	2 (Non-Executive)
Cathy Turner	Independent Non-Executive Director	3 (Non-Executive)

(1) Steven Cooper was appointed Chief Executive Officer and an Executive Director effective 10 May 2021. Mr Cooper has resigned as a director from 'Social Mobility Commission - Gov.UK' and 'Robert Walters PLC', both effective by 31 December 2021.

(2) Richard Banks was appointed as an Independent Non-Executive Director effective 1 September 2020.

(3) Christine Palmer resigned as Chief Risk Officer and as an Executive Director effective 31 July 2020.

(4) Danuta Gray resigned as an Independent Non-Executive Director effective 31 March 2021.

(5) Phillip Monks resigned as Chief Executive Officer and as an Executive Director effective 7 May 2021.

(6) The CRD V limits are one Executive Directorship plus two Non-Executive Directorships, or four Non-Executive Directorships. Directorships within the same group count as one and directorships of organisations which do not pursue predominantly commercial objectives do not count.

(7) Romy Murray was appointed as an Independent Non-Executive Director effective 1 August 2021. Ms Murray has 2 Non-Executive Directorships.

(8) Ruth Handcock was appointed as an Independent Non-Executive Director effective 1 October 2021. Mrs Handcock has 1 Executive Directorship and 1 Non-Executive Directorship.

#### 17.2 Board recruitment

The Board has delegated specific powers and authority to the Corporate Governance and Nomination Committee (the "Nomination Committee") to lead the appointments process for nominations to fill Board vacancies. It is also responsible for keeping the size, structure and composition of the Board under regular review, and for making recommendations to the Board with regard to any changes necessary. The Nomination Committee also formulates succession plans for the Chair, Non-Executive Directors and the senior Executives.

All Board appointments are subject to a formal, rigorous and transparent procedure. Before an appointment is made to the Board, the Nomination Committee evaluates the balance of skills, knowledge and experience on the Board and, in the light of this evaluation, prepares a description of the role and capabilities required for a particular appointment. In identifying suitable candidates, the Nomination Committee will:

• Use open advertising or the services of external advisers to facilitate the search;

- Have regard to the balance of skills, experience and knowledge on the Board appropriate for the business and the cognitive skills, personal strengths and, where relevant, the independence of the candidate, as well as assessing the impact individual candidates will have on overall Board diversity;
- Consider candidates on merit and against defined job specifications and criteria, taking care that appointees have enough time to devote to the position; and
- Have due regard to regulatory approval criteria.

The Board has delegated specific powers and authority to the Remuneration Committee for determining the total individual remuneration package of each of the Group's Executive Directors and the Chairman. The remuneration of Non-Executive Directors is set by the Board. No Director or senior manager is involved in any decisions as to their own remuneration.

## 17.3 Board diversity

The Company seeks to ensure that at least half the Board, excluding the Chair, is made up of independent non-executive directors, and is diverse, bringing perspectives, skills and experiences from a wide range of backgrounds and disciplines. The Board aims to meet the targets of the Hampton-Alexander Review (33% female representation on the Board) and the Parker Review (one director of colour on the Board), as part of a longer-term aspiration for the composition of our Board to broadly match the gender mix of the UK population. The Board currently includes 4 female members, 36% of its total composition.

# 18 Remuneration

#### 18.1 Overview of remuneration for Code staff

The PRA has defined certain requirements relating to remuneration, referred to as the Remuneration Code ("the Code"). Firms that fall within the scope of the Code (which includes banks) must establish, implement and maintain remuneration policies, procedures and practices that are consistent with and promote sound and effective risk management.

A firm must maintain a record of its Code Staff (being those staff whose professional activities have a material impact on the firm's risk profile) and take reasonable steps to ensure Code Staff understand the implications of their status.

During the year the Group employed a total of 57 individuals who were classed as Code Staff (30 June 2020: 64 individuals). Of these, 18 individuals (30 June 2020: 19 individuals) were categorised as senior management (being the Executive and Non-Executive Directors and members of the Executive Committee), as well as 39 individuals (30 June 2020: 45 individuals) categorised as other Code Staff. The remuneration for these colleagues is governed under the Group's Remuneration Policies.

#### 18.2 Approach to remuneration

The Group's Remuneration Policies are designed to comply with the Code and the Group is committed to adherence to its practices and guidelines in respect of Code Staff. They are based on key principles which reflect the Group's values and recognise the need to be competitive within the UK banking market.

The policy is to set remuneration levels which are aligned within the overall Group stated risk appetite and ICAAP measures, and to ensure that the Executive Directors, senior management and employees are fairly and responsibly rewarded in return for high levels of individual and business performance.

The overall aim of the Group's Remuneration Policies is to attract, motivate and retain individuals of high calibre who can deliver sustained performance consistent with strategic goals, appropriate risk management and to reward them for enhancing value.

The following key principles underpin these themes:

- Attract and retain high calibre individuals;
- Remuneration will not be excessive;
- Remuneration is aligned to the long-term success of the Group;
- Proportion of variable pay is appropriate and balanced and has due regard to any impact of risk;
- No reward for poor performance;
- No conflict of interest in decision making processes; and
- It is fair and equitable, reflecting the Group's commitment to diversity and equality of opportunity.

#### 18.3 Decision-making process for determining Remuneration Policy

In line with regulatory guidance, remuneration is overseen by the Remuneration Committee ("the Committee") of the Board of Directors. The Committee's terms of reference were last reviewed and updated in June 2021. The Committee meets at least twice a year, although it can meet more frequently as required. The Committee met in August and September 2020 and in February, April and July 2021 which was a concluding meeting for the performance year.

Only members of the Committee have the right to attend and vote at Committee meetings. However, other individuals (such as the CEO, the Chief Risk Officer and the Chief People Officer) are invited to attend meetings when appropriate or necessary but are excluded from discussions relating to their own remuneration arrangements. The Committee is also supported by the Risk Committee on risk-related matters including design of performance-related pay structures, assessment of specific performance measures and wider discussions related to risk management.

The Committee may take external professional advice as appropriate and during the year FIT Remuneration Consultants LLP continued to provide external remuneration advice. The Committee also continued to measure and benchmark against comparative remuneration packages within the financial sector.

#### 18.4 Link between pay and performance

Performance-based remuneration is awarded by the Committee in a manner which promotes sound risk management (within the Group's stated risk appetite and ICAAP measures) and does not induce excessive risk taking. The Group's Remuneration Policies focus on ensuring sound and effective risk management through:

- A stringent governance structure for setting goals and communicating these to employees;
- Performance assessment metrics for Executive Directors and other Code Staff which are reviewed by the Chief Risk Officer and include both financial and non-financial goals; and
- Making all variable remuneration awards at the discretion of the Committee and subject to individual, business and overall Group performance as well as stated risk appetite and ICAAP measures.

In practice, all key remuneration decisions are approved by relevant senior leaders and the relevant HR Business Partners before implementation. For Code Staff the remuneration decisions are reviewed and approved by the Remuneration Committee, but the Group's Risk function will also play a role in providing input around risk measurement and performance.

#### 18.5 Design and structure of remuneration for Code staff

There are three main elements of remuneration available to Code Staff:

- Fixed pay;
- Variable awards (made under the Annual Incentive Plan ("AIP") and Long-Term Incentive Plan ("LTIP")); and
- Benefits (such as car allowance, pension and insurance).

The Remuneration Committee assesses progress against a number of key financial and operational drivers including profit; return on equity; cost/income ratio; net lending growth; risk management; performance against People and Customer metrics and compliance with internal business procedures.

Where individual KPIs are achieved but the underlying performance of the Group is unsatisfactory, annual performance-based payments may be reduced in part or withheld altogether at the Remuneration Committee's discretion.

Performance of individuals within control functions is assessed independently of the financial performance of the business area that the individual controls. These measures are all set to provide challenging objectives that are aligned with the Group's strategy and are operated within the overall affordability of bonuses.

All share schemes and the remuneration of the CEO are also reviewed and overseen by the Group's parent, First Rand, Remuneration Committee.

#### 18.5.1 Variable awards

#### LTIP and AIP

LTIPs are three-year performance-based remuneration schemes settled in cash, but 50% equity linked to the FirstRand share price, except the schemes awarded to the Chief Executive Officer, which are settled with FirstRand shares to the value of the awards at vesting date. Individual performance in the year prior to grant is considered when approving grant levels. There are different LTIP schemes with performance and service conditions depending upon the scheme and its purpose.

AIP is a one-year scheme to motivate Executive Directors and most other code staff during the period to which the performance relates. A portion of the AIP is deferred with payments subject to floor and ceiling rules. There are service conditions attached to the deferred portion of the AIP. For Code Staff who are ineligible for the AIP they will have a General Bonus opportunity. An AIP was awarded for the year (further details in the Remuneration Committee report on page 47 of the ARA).

Financial year 2022 will be the first performance year to which CRD V applies, which has led to some changes to these plans, most notably to the rules relating to deferral.

#### **Restricted Cash Award**

Following the takeover by FirstRand a restricted cash plan was granted across Aldermore Bank. This vested in March 2021.

#### **Conditional award**

Conditional awards were granted across the Aldermore Group that vest after three years and are based on certain performance conditions. The awards are cash-settled.

#### 18.6 Remuneration awarded and paid to Code Staff

The following tables show the remuneration for the Group's Code Staff.

# Table 32: Total remuneration to Code staff by business area

	30 June 2021	30 June 2020	
	£m	£m	
Mortgages <sup>(1)</sup>	1.1	1.2	
Business finance <sup>(2)</sup>	2.0	1.6	
Consumer finance <sup>(3)</sup>	0.5	0.4	
Central functions	14.6	11.6	
Total	18.2	14.8	

<sup>1</sup> Mortgages include the Group's Buy-to-Let and Residential Mortgages divisions.

<sup>2</sup> Business finance includes the Group's Asset Finance, Invoice Finance and SME Commercial Mortgage divisions.

<sup>3</sup> Consumer finance includes the Group's Motor Finance division.

# Table 33: Total remuneration to Code staff

	Senior management		Total
	£'000	£'000	£'000
Total remuneration	9 770.6	8 417.4	18 188.0
Variable remuneration as % of total remuneration	46%	36%	41%
Number of Code Staff	18	39	57

# **Appendices**

## **Appendix 1: Disclosures for Aldermore Bank PLC**

The following tables present the disclosures required for Aldermore Bank PLC. The difference between the Group and the Bank relates primarily to reserves held by entities other than the Bank, including MotoNovo Finance, that are included in the Group consolidation and a small impact from the RWAs of these entities.

The Group consolidated disclosures apply to the standalone Bank as well as the consolidated Group.

The following disclosures in respect of the Bank cover:

- Capital requirements and resources;
- Credit risk;
- Treasury; and
- Remuneration.

#### Pillar 1 capital requirement

The following table shows the Bank's requirements, capital resources requirement and capital surplus over Pillar 1 as of 30 June 2021 and 30 June 2020:

	30 June 2021		30 Ju	0 June 2020	
	Risk weighted assets	Pillar 1 Capital requirement	Risk weighted assets	Pillar 1 Capital requirement	
	£m	£m	£m	£m	
Credit risk	5 361.3	428.9	5 818.3	465.5	
Market risk	0.1	-	0.2	-	
Operational risk	601.8	48.1	586.7	46.9	
Credit valuation adjustment	0.9	0.1	1.1	0.1	
Capital resources requirement under Pillar 1	5 964.2	477.1	6 406.3	512.5	
Capital resources (per Table 35)		1 168.0		1 081.9	
Capital resources surplus over Pillar 1 requirement		690.9		569.4	

#### Table 34: Total minimum Pillar 1 capital requirement (Bank only)

The overall capital requirement for the Bank is  $\pm 35.4$  million lower compared with 2020. The Bank's largest capital requirement continues to be credit risk arising on lending to borrowers. Pillar 1 capital requirements for credit risk are considered in further detail at a Group level in Section 9.

The Bank's capital requirement in respect of market risk, operational risk and Credit Valuation Adjustment is materially the same as that of the Group because the underlying exposures are the same.

#### **Capital resources**

The capital resources of the Bank are managed according to the same principles as the Group, which have been described in this document above. The capital resources of the Bank have some differences to

that of the Group. The table below shows the composition of the Bank's regulatory capital resources and requirements as of 30 June 2021 and as of 30 June 2020.

#### Table 35: Capital composition (Bank only)

	30 June 2021	30 June 2020
Regulatory capital	£m	£m
Common Equity Tier 1 (CET 1)		
Share capital	3.3	3.3
Share premium account	307.5	307.5
FVOCI reserve & Prudential Valuation Adjustment	8.1	1.5
Retained earnings	607.0	522.9
IFRS 9 transitional add back	30.6	33.4
Regulatory adjustments to CET1		
Less: Intangible assets	(9.5)	(7.7)
Total Common Equity Tier 1 (CET1) capital	947.0	860.9
Additional Tier 1 (AT1)		
Additional Tier 1 (AT1) - perpetual loan	61.0	61
Total Tier 1 capital	1008.0	921.9
Tier 2		
Subordinated debt	160.0	160.0
Total Tier 2 capital	160.0	160.0
Total regulatory capital resources	1168.0	1081.9
Risk weighted assets - Pillar 1		
Credit risk	5 361.3	5 818.3
Market risk	0.1	0.2
Operational risk	601.8	586.7
Credit valuation adjustment (CVA)	0.9	1.1
Total risk weighted assets	5 964.2	6 406.3
Capital ratios		
Common Equity Tier 1 capital ratio	15.9%	13.4%
Tier 1 capital ratio	16.9%	14.4%
Total capital ratio	19.6%	16.9%

Total regulatory capital resources have increased by £86.1 million to £1,168.0 million as of 30 June 2021 (30 June 2020: £1,081.9 million) primarily as a result of the inclusion of the Bank's profit after tax for the period in retained earnings (see table 36).

RWAs decreased by £442.1 million through internal enhancements made to expected credit loss models to reflect RWA's at a transactional level and lower lending across the buy to let and business finance books within the year.

The table below shows movements in the Bank's capital during the period.

# Table 36: Flow statement for regulatory capital (Bank only)

	£m
Common Equity Tier 1 as of 1 July 2020	860.9
Profit after tax for the year	89.3
Movement in IFRS9 transitional addbacks	(3.1)
Increase in FVOCI reserve	7.0
Coupon paid on contingent convertible securities, net of tax	(5.2)
Increase in intangible assets adjustment	(1.8)
Common Equity Tier 1 as of 30 June 2021	947.0
Additional Tier 1 capital as of 1 July 2020 and 30 June 2021	61.0
Total Tier 1 capital as of 30 June 2021	1 008.0
Tier 2 capital as of 1 July 2020	160.0
Tier 2 capital as of 30 June 2021	160.0
Total regulatory capital as of 30 June 2021	1 168.0

#### Tier 1 capital resources

The Bank's Tier 1 capital comprises shareholders' equity and an AT1 perpetual loan.

Shareholders' equity comprises issued share capital and associated premiums, accumulated accounting profits and other reserves balances.

A regulatory adjustment is required to be made to the Bank's CET1 capital in respect of intangible assets, as set out in CRD. For accounting purposes, items including computer software are capitalised as intangible fixed assets subject to certain criteria. Intangible assets are deducted from capital under the regulatory rules.

#### **Tier 2 capital resources**

Tier 2 capital at Bank level comprises a subordinated loan from Aldermore Group which is consistent in terms and amount to Tier 2 capital at the Group level. Please refer to Section 8.5.4 for further information on Tier 2 capital.

#### Reconciliation of statutory equity to regulatory capital

The table below reconciles the Bank's statutory equity shown within the Bank's 2021 ARA to the total regulatory capital resources balance shown in Table 35.

#### Table 37: Reconciliation of statutory equity to total regulatory

	30 June 2021	30 June 2020
	£m	£m
Total equity per statement of financial position	986.9	896.2
Regulatory adjustments:		
Add: subordinated debt	160.0	160

Add: IFRS 9 transitional adjustment	30.6	33.4
Less: Intangible assets	(9.5)	(7.7)
Total regulatory capital resources	1 168.0	1081.9

#### Leverage ratio

The Bank's leverage ratio is calculated on a basis consistent with that of the Group, as set out in Section 8. The following tables presents the disclosures of the leverage ratio for the Bank as of 30 June 2021 based on the requirement in Part Eight of the CRR and in the related Implementing Technical Standards issued by the EBA on 5 June 2014. Any blank cells have been removed from these disclosures.

## Table 38: Summary reconciliation of accounting assets and leverage ratio exposures

		30 June 2021	30 June 2020
		£m	£m
1	Total assets as per published financial statements	13 299.7	15 000.7
4	Adjustments for derivative financial instruments	(4.8)	6.3
6	Adjustment for off-balance sheet items (i.e. conversion to credit equivalent amounts of off-balance sheet		
	exposures)	139.4	133.2
7	Other adjustments	(9.5)	(7.7)
8	Leverage ratio exposure	13 424.8	15 132.5

# Table 39: Leverage ratio common disclosure (Bank only)

		30 June 2021	30 June 2020
		£m	£m
	On-balance sheet exposures (excluding derivatives and SFTs)		
1	On-balance sheet items (excluding derivatives and SFTs, but including collateral)	13 280.5	14 991.6
2	Asset amounts deducted in determining Tier 1 capital	(9.5)	(7.7)
3	Total on-balance sheet exposures (excluding derivatives and SFTs)	13 271.0	14 983.9
	Derivative disclosures		
4	Replacement cost associated with all derivatives transactions (ie net of eligible cash variation margin)	1.4	2.5
5	Add-on amounts for PFE associated with all derivatives transactions (mark to market method)	13.0	12.9
11	Total derivative exposures	14.4	15.4
	Off-balance sheet exposures		
17	Off-balance sheet exposures at gross notional amount	852.3	1 332.1
18	(Adjustments for conversion to credit equivalent amounts)	(712.9)	(1 198.8)
19	Other off-balance sheet exposures	139.4	133.3
	Capital and Total Exposures		

20	Tier 1 capital	1 008.0	921.9
21	Total leverage ratio exposures	13 424.8	15 132.5
22	Leverage ratio	7.5%	6.1%
EU- 23	Choice on transitional arrangements for the definition of the capital measure	Fully phased in	Fully phased in

Table 40: Analysis of on balance sheet exposures (excluding derivatives and SFTs)				
		30 June 2021 £m	30 June 2020	
	Total on-balance sheet exposures (excluding derivatives and SFTs),		£m	
EU-1	of which:	13 271.0	14 983.9	
EU-3	Banking book exposures, of which:	13 271.0	14 983.9	
EU-4	Covered bonds	497.1	529.7	
EU-5	Exposures treated as sovereigns	2 076.4	1 841.2	
EU-6	Exposures to regional governments, MDB, international organisations and PSE NOT treated as sovereigns	16.9	9.5	
EU-7	Institutions	64.6	1 652.6	
EU-8	Secured by mortgages of immovable properties	8 069.0	8 182.3	
EU-9	Retail exposures	1 228.1	1 518.4	
EU-10	Corporate	711.6	618.9	
EU-11	Exposures in default	288.6	74.3	
EU-12	Other exposures (e.g. equity, securitisations, and other non-credit obligation assets)	318.7	557.0	

1	Description of the processes used to manage the risk of excessive leverage	The management process for Aldermore Bank PLC is the same as for the Group – see table 9 for details
2	Description of the factors that had an impact on the leverage ratio during the period to which the disclosed leverage ratio refers	The Bank's leverage ratio has increased to 7.5% (30 June 2020 6.1%) as Total Tier 1 Capital growth has exceeded the growth in leverage exposures.

#### Table 41: Free format text boxes for disclosure on qualitative items

#### Credit risk

Credit risk in respect of the Bank is managed in the same way as per the Group as described in Section 9. The exposures in respect of loans and advances to customers are identical for Bank and Group. With the inclusion of the Group's securitisation vehicle in the Group consolidation, there are additional exposures including those for A Note holders in respect of Oak No.2 PLC and consolidation elimination of transactions between Bank and Oak. The following table shows the credit risk exposures and the composition of the minimum capital requirements for credit risk as of 30 June 2021 in respect of the Bank.

#### Table 42: Pillar 1 capital requirements : credit risk (Bank only)

#### 30 June 2021

Exposures subject to the Standardised Approach	Credit risk exposure <sup>1</sup>	Average credit risk exposure <sup>2</sup>	Risk weighted Assets₃	Minimum capital requirement
Central government and central banks	1 415.8	798.9	-	-
Regional governments or local authorities	4.1	1.6	0.8	0.1
Public sector entities	12.8	5.3	2.6	0.2
Multilateral development banks	742.9	324.9	-	-
Institutions	2 359.0	518.1	4.6	0.4

56.9	42.0	129.3	10.3
497.1	313.8	49.7	4.0
115.4	64.2	23.1	1.8
42.1	57.7	4.4	0.4
157.7	271.2	236.6	18.9
288.6	62.5	294.6	23.6
8 115.9	6 916.8	3 274.3	261.9
1 161.9	1 445.3	704.9	56.4
711.6	627.4	636.4	50.9
	1 161.9 8 115.9 288.6 157.7 42.1 115.4 497.1	1 161.9       1 445.3         8 115.9       6 916.8         288.6       62.5         157.7       271.2         42.1       57.7         115.4       64.2         497.1       313.8	1 161.9       1 445.3       704.9         8 115.9       6 916.8       3 274.3         288.6       62.5       294.6         157.7       271.2       236.6         42.1       57.7       4.4         115.4       64.2       23.1         497.1       313.8       49.7

1 Exposures presented are after accounting offsets and without taking into account the effects of credit risk mitigation

2 Average credit risk exposures calculated as the average of the exposure data reported to the PRA on a quarterly basis

3 RWA's are shown after the application of the SME factor

4 Retail and Corporates include exposures to SME's

#### Treasury

The disclosures in respect of treasury risks and treasury risk management in respect of the Group in this document also apply to the Bank. One notable difference in the exposure of the Bank compared with the Group is the net exposure to counterparty credit risk for derivative contracts due to the intra-group derivative arrangements in place in respect of the Group's securitisation. The following table summarises the total net exposure to counterparty credit risk in respect of derivative contracts of the Bank.

# Table 43: Net exposures to counterparty credit risk for derivative contracts (Bank solo)

	30 June 2021	30 June 2020	
	£m	£m	
Interest rate contracts	18.9	9.0	
Equity index contracts	0.2	0.1	
Foreign exchange contracts	0.1	0.0	
Gross positive fair value of contracts	19.2	9.1	
Netting of negative FV contracts	(17.8)	(6.6)	
Potential future credit exposure (net)	13.0	12.9	
Less: netting benefits <sup>1</sup>	(4.8)	6.3	
Netted current credit exposure	14.4	15.4	
Net derivative credit exposure	14.4	15.4	

<sup>(1)</sup>Netting benefits shown after the inclusion of potential future credit exposures

#### Remuneration

All staff of the consolidated Group are employed by the Bank and MotoNovo Finance Limited. The Bank also incurs the cost of employing the Executive and Non-Executive Directors. As such, the disclosures in

respect of remuneration (Article 450) for the Group in Section 18 of this document are also applicable to the Bank.

#### Appendix 2: EBA transitional own funds disclosure template

#### **Table 44: Transitional Own Funds**

The following table shows the make up of the own funds of the Group and Bank in the format prescribed in Regulation (EU) 1423/2013. Any blank cells have been removed from this disclosure.

		Group £m	Bank £m
	Common Equity Tier 1 (CET1) capital: instruments and reserves		
1	Capital instruments and the related share premium accounts		
	of which: ordinary shares	243.9	3.3
	of which: share premium	74.4	307.5
2	Retained earnings	796.5	607.0
	IFRS 9 Transitional adjustment	63.4	30.6
3	Accumulated other comprehensive income (and other reserves)	8.4	8.1
6	Common Equity Tier 1 (CET1) capital before regulatory adjustments	1 186.6	956.5
8	Intangible assets (net of related tax liability) (negative amount)	(15.0)	(9.5)
28	Total regulatory adjustments to Common Equity Tier 1 (CET1)	(15.0)	(9.5)
29	Common Equity Tier 1 (CET1) capital	1 171.6	947.0

	Additional Tior 1 (AT1) conital, instruments	Group £m	Bank £m
30	Additional Tier 1 (AT1) capital: instruments Capital instruments and the related share premium accounts		
31	of which: classified as equity under applicable accounting standards	108.0	61.0
36	Additional Tier 1 (AT1) capital before regulatory adjustments	108.0	61.0
44	Additional Tier 1 (AT1) capital	108.0	61.0
45	Tier 1 capital (T1 = CET1 + AT1)	1 279.6	1 008.0

	Group	Bank
	£m	£m
Tier 2 (T2) capital: instruments and provisions		

48	Qualifying own funds instruments included in consolidated T2 capital (including minority interests and AT1 instruments) issued by subsidiaries and held by third parties	212.0	160.0
49	of which: instruments issued by subsidiaries subject to phase out		
51	Tier 2 (T2) capital before regulatory adjustments	212.0	160.0
58	Tier 2 (T2) capital	212.0	160.0
59	Total capital (TC = T1 + T2)	1 491.6	1 168.0
60	Total risk weighted assets	8 434.4	5 964.2

		Group £m	Bank £m
	Capital ratios and buffers		
61	Common Equity Tier 1 (as a percentage of total risk exposure amount)	13.9%	15.9%
62	Tier 1 (as a percentage of total risk exposure amount)	15.2%	16.9%
63	Total capital (as a percentage of total risk exposure amount)	17.7%	19.6%
64	Institution specific buffer requirement (CET1 requirement in accordance with article 92 (1) (a) plus capital conservation and countercyclical buffer requirements, plus systemic risk buffer, plus systemically important institution buffer expressed as a percentage of risk exposure amount)	9.4%	8.8%
68	Common Equity Tier 1 available to meet buffers (as a percentage of risk exposure amount)	9.4%	8.8%
75	Deferred tax assets arising from temporary differences (amount below 10% threshold, net of related tax liability where the conditions in Article 38 (3) are met)	7.6	5.7

	•	•	•	,				
1	Issuer	Aldermore Group PLC	Aldermore Group PLC	Aldermore Group PLC	Aldermore Group PLC	Aldermore Group PLC	Aldermore Group PLC	Aldermore Group PLC
2	ISIN	n/a – not listed	n/a – not listed	n/a – not listed	n/a – not listed	XS1507529144	n/a – not listed	n/a – not listed
3	Governing law	English	English	English	English	English	English	English
	Regulatory treatment							
4	Transitional CRR rules	CET1	CET1	Additional Tier 1	Additional Tier 1	Tier 2	Tier 2	Tier 2
5	Post-transitional CRR rules	CET1	CET1	Additional Tier 1	Additional Tier 1	Tier 2	Tier 2	Tier 2
6	Eligible at Group or Bank	Group	Group	Group	Group	Group	Group	Group
7	Instrument type (type to be specified by each jurisdiction)	Share Capital	Share Capital	Additional Tier 1 – Perpetual Subordinated Capital Notes	Additional Tier 1 – Perpetual Subordinated Capital Notes	Tier 2 – Subordinated Debt	Tier 2 – Subordinated Debt	Tier 2 – Subordinated Debt
8	Regulatory capital value (£m)	34.9	209.0	47.0	61.0	60.0	100.0	52.0
9	Nominal value (£m)	34.9	209.0	47.0	61.0	60.0	100.0	52.0
10	Accounting classification	Equity	Equity	Equity	Equity	Liability – amortised cost	Liability – amortised cost	Liability – amortised cost
11	Date of issue		3 May 2019	27 June 2019	29 April 2020	28 October 2016	22 November 2018	22 May 2019
12	Perpetual or dated	Perpetual	Perpetual	Perpetual	Perpetual	Dated	Dated	Dated
13	Original maturity date	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	28 October 2026	22 November 2028	22 May 2029
14	Issuer call	No	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
15	Optional call date, contingent call dates and redemption amount	n/a	n/a	27 June 2024	29 April 2025	28 October 2021	22 November 2023	22 May 2024
16	Subsequent call dates	n/a	n/a	Aligned to the interest repayment	Aligned to the interest repayment	None	Anytime thereafter the initial call date	Anytime thereafter the initial call date
	Coupons / dividends							
17	Fixed or floating dividend/coupon	Floating	Floating	Fixed-to-floating <sup>1</sup>	Fixed-to-fixed	Fixed-to-fixed	Fixed-to-floating <sup>1</sup>	Fixed-to-floating <sup>1</sup>
18	Coupon rate and any related index	n/a	n/a	7.27%	8.50%	8.50%	4.85%	5.06%
19	Existence of a dividend stopper	No	No	No	No	No	No	No
20	Fully discretionary, partially discretionary or mandatory	Fully discretionary	Fully discretionary	Fully discretionary	Fully discretionary	Mandatory	Mandatory	Mandatory
21	Existence of step up or other incentive to redeem	No	No	No	No	No	No	No
22	Non-cumulative or cumulative	Non-cumulative	Non-cumulative	Non-cumulative	Non-cumulative	Non-cumulative	Non-cumulative	Non-cumulative
23	Convertible or non-convertible	Non-convertible	Non-convertible	Non-convertible	Non-convertible	Non-convertible	Non-convertible	Non-convertible
24	If convertible, conversion trigger (s)	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
25	If convertible, fully or partially	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
26	If convertible, conversion rate	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a

# Table 45: Main features of capital instruments (Aldermore Group PLC)

27	If convertible, mandatory or optional conversion	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
28	If convertible, specify instrument type convertible into	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
29	If convertible, specify issuer of instrument it converts into	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
30	Write-down feature	No	No	Yes	Yes	No	No	No
31 - 34	If write-down, trigger(s), full/partial, permanent/temporary	n/a	n/a	Permanent write- down if CET1 ratio falls below 7%	Permanent write- down if CET1 ratio falls below 7%	n/a	n/a	n/a
35	Position in subordination hierarchy in liquidation (specify instrument type immediately senior to instrument)	Additional Tier 1	Additional Tier 1	Tier 2	Tier 2	Senior Unsecured	Senior Unsecured	Senior Unsecured
36	Non-compliant transitioned features	No	No	No	No	No	No	No
37	If yes, specify non-compliant features	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a

 I
 I
 I
 I
 I
 I
 I
 I
 I
 I
 I
 I
 I
 I
 I
 I
 I
 I
 I
 I
 I
 I
 I
 I
 I
 I
 I
 I
 I
 I
 I
 I
 I
 I
 I
 I
 I
 I
 I
 I
 I
 I
 I
 I
 I
 I
 I
 I
 I
 I
 I
 I
 I
 I
 I
 I
 I
 I
 I
 I
 I
 I
 I
 I
 I
 I
 I
 I
 I
 I
 I
 I
 I
 I
 I
 I
 I
 I
 I
 I
 I
 I
 I
 I
 I
 I
 I
 I
 I
 I
 I
 I
 I
 I
 I
 I
 I
 I
 I
 I
 I
 I
 I
 I
 I
 I
 I
 I
 I
 I
 I
 I
 I
 I
 I
 I
 I
 I
 I

1	Issuer	Aldermore Bank PLC	Aldermore Bank PLC	Aldermore Bank PLC	Aldermore Bank PLC
2	ISIN	n/a – not listed	n/a – not listed	n/a – not listed	n/a – not listed
3	Governing law	English	English	English	English
	Regulatory treatment				
4	Transitional CRR rules	CET1	Additional Tier 1	Tier 2	Tier 2
5	Post-transitional CRR rules	CET1	Additional Tier 1	Tier 2	Tier 2
6	Eligible at Group or Bank	Bank	Bank	Bank	Bank
7	Instrument type (type to be specified by each jurisdiction)	Share Capital	Additional Tier 1 – Perpetual Loan	Subordinated Intercompany Loan	Subordinated Intercompany Loan
8	Regulatory capital value (£m)	3.3	61.0	60.0	100.0
9	Nominal value (£m)	3.3	61.0	60.0	100.0
10	Accounting classification	Equity	Equity	Liability – amortised cost	Liability – amortised cost
11	Date of issue		29 April 2020	28 October 2016	22 November 2018
12	Perpetual or dated	Perpetual	Perpetual	Dated	Dated
13	Original maturity date	n/a	n/a	28 October 2026	22 November 2028
14	Issuer call	No	Yes	Yes	Yes
15	Optional call date, contingent call dates and redemption amount	n/a	29 April 2025	28 October 2021	22 November 2023
16	Subsequent call dates	n/a	Aligned to the interest repayment	None	Anytime thereafter the initial call date
	Coupons / dividends				
17	Fixed or floating dividend/coupon	Floating	Fixed-to-fixed	Fixed-to-fixed	Fixed-to-floating <sup>1</sup>
18	Coupon rate and any related index	n/a	8.50%	8.50%	4.85%
19	Existence of a dividend stopper	No	No	No	No
20	Fully discretionary, partially discretionary or mandatory	Fully discretionary	Fully discretionary	Mandatory	Mandatory
21	Existence of step up or other incentive to redeem	No	No	No	No
22	Non-cumulative or cumulative	Non-cumulative	Non-cumulative	Non-cumulative	Non-cumulative
23	Convertible or non-convertible	Non-convertible	Non-convertible	Non-convertible	Non-convertible
24	If convertible, conversion trigger (s)	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
25	If convertible, fully or partially	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a

## Table 46: Main features of capital instruments (Aldermore Bank PLC)

<sup>1</sup> Ahead of the decommissioning of LIBOR at the end of 2021, the reset rate of the instrument has been amended to refer to 6M Compounded SONIA. This change was effective on 7<sup>th</sup> July 2021. None of the outstanding capital instruments are subject to the reset rate as they are all in the initial fixed rate period before the first call date.

### Appendix 4: Additional Group credit risk disclosures

The following table shows the total Group exposure values associated with each risk weighting. The application of risk weights is based on the exposure class to which the exposure is assigned and, to an extent, its credit quality.

# Table 47: Breakdown of total exposures by risk weighting

As of 30 June 2021	0%	4%	10%	20%	35%	50%	75%	100%	150%	250%	Other risk weights	Total
	£m	£m	£m	£m	£m	£m	£m	£m	£m	£m	£m	£m
Central government and central banks	1 415.8	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1 415.8
Regional governments or local authorities	-	-	-	4.1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	4.1
Public sector entities	-	-	-	12.8	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	12.8
Multilateral development banks	742.9	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	742.9
Institutions	-	75.8	-	0.6	-	6.3	-	-	-	-	-	82.7
Corporates	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	708.5	-	-	-	708.5
Retail	-	-	-	-	-	-	3 983.4	21.7	-	-	-	4 005.1
Secured by mortgages on immovable property	-	-	-	-	7 295.5	-	37.3	783.2	-	-	-	8 116.0
Exposures at default	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	293.6	12.3	-	-	305.9
Items belonging to regulatory high-risk categories	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	157.7	-	-	157.7
Short-term claims on institutions or corporates	20.3	-	-	138.4	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	158.7
Securitisation positions	-	-	-	115.4	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	115.4
Covered bonds	-	-	497.1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	497.1
Other items	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	259.9	-	13.3	-	273.2
Total	2 179.0	75.8	497.1	271.3	7 295.5	6.3	4 020.7	2 066.9	170.0	13.3	-	16 596.0

As of 30 June 2020	0%	4%	10%	20%	35%	50%	75%	100%	150%	250%	Other risk weights	Total
	£m	£m	£m	£m	£m	£m	£m	£m	£m	£m	£m	£m
Central government and central banks	1 262.9	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1 262.9
Regional governments or local authorities	-	-	-	0.9	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0.9
Public sector entities	-	-	-	8.5	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	8.5
Multilateral development banks	586.8	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	586.8
Institutions	-	70.1	-	-	-	2.3	-	-	-	-	-	72.4
Corporates	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	615.0	-	-	-	615.0
Retail	-	-	-	-	-	-	3 192.8	33.9	-	-	-	3 226.7
Secured by mortgages on immovable property	-	-	-	-	7 023.1	-	78.2	1 112.4	-	-	-	8 213.7
Exposures at default	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	70.4	10.9	-	-	81.2
Items belonging to regulatory high-risk categories	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	276.3	-	-	276.3
Short-term claims on institutions or corporates	88.1	-	-	81.2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	169.3
Securitisation positions	-	-	-	114.4	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	114.4
Covered bonds	-	-	529.7	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	529.7
Other items	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	261.9	-	10.0	-	271.9
Total	1 937.7	70.1	529.7	205.1	7 023.1	2.3	3 271.0	2 093.5	287.1	10.0	-	15 429.8

The following table provides an analysis of the payment due status of gross loans and advances to customers by significant UK region. Past due loans analysed by business segment are disclosed in Table 14 within Section 9.

	Stage 1	Stage 2		otal gross loans nd advances to customers
30 June 2021	£m	£m	£m	£m
East Anglia	1 290.2	110.7	42.2	1 443.1
East Midlands	786.7	73.5	19.6	879.7
Greater London	2 051.9	200.6	73.4	2 325.8
North East	368.3	28.2	12.9	409.4
North West	1 213.1	126.5	49.0	1 388.5
Northern Ireland	154.1	8.8	4.2	167.1
Scotland	772.6	69.0	37.0	878.6
South East	2 398.2	178.4	62.2	2 638.9
South West	1 063.5	99.8	31.6	1 194.9
Wales	407.9	27.0	9.8	444.7
West Midlands	751.3	92.1	24.2	867.5
Yorkshire and Humberside	876.3	71.7	26.4	974.4
Total	12 134.1	1 086.1	392.4	13 612.6

# Table 48: Payment status of gross loans and advances to customers by UK region

				otal gross loans nd advances to
	Stage 1	Stage 2	Stage 3	customers
30 June 2020	£m	£m	£m	£m
East Anglia	1 178.4	154.7	28.4	1 361.5
East Midlands	688.0	81.2	12.1	781.3
Greater London	1 887.7	226.0	37.9	2 151.6
North East	327.8	36.0	9.0	372.8
North West	1 132.0	143.2	44.6	1 319.8
Northern Ireland	105.3	9.8	1.4	116.5
Scotland	705.0	98.4	20.9	824.3
South East	2 117.6	260.2	31.5	2 409.3
South West	982.1	119.2	16.8	1 118.1
Wales	379.2	37.9	5.6	422.8
West Midlands	743.5	87.6	15.1	846.3
Yorkshire and Humberside	745.5	101.9	14.8	862.3
Total	10 992.3	1 356.2	238.0	12 586.5

#### Appendix 5: Group asset encumbrance

The following tables present the disclosure of the Group's encumbered and unencumbered assets as of 30 June 2021 based on the requirement in CRD IV and the related guidance issued by the European Banking Authority in June 2014, and subsequently updated in 2017 to amend the basis of disclosure to reflect median values of the items disclosed. Annual reports are to be based on the last four quarterly observations. The below reflects the 12- month period covering 1 July 2020 to 30 June 2021.

The Group has taken advantage of the waiver provided by the PRA in Supervisory Statement SS11/14 and has therefore not included the disclosure in respect of collateral received as set out in Template B of the EBA guidance.

#### Table 49: Template A - Assets

#### Aa of 30 June 2021

		Carrying amount of encumbered assets 010	Fair value of encumbered assets 040	Carrying amount of unencumbered assets 060	Fair value of unencumbered assets 090
		£m	£m	£m	£m
010	Assets of the reporting institution	4 850.3	n/a	11 638.5	n/a
040	Debt securities	-	-	1 999.5	1 999.5
120	Other assets	-	n/a	157.2	n/a

#### As of 30 June 2020

		Carrying amount of encumbered assets	Fair value of encumbered assets	Carrying amount of unencumbered assets	Fair value of unencumbered assets
		010	040	060	090
		£m	£m	£m	£m
010	Assets of the reporting institution	4 397.9	n/a	10 925.7	n/a
040	Debt securities	438.7	-	1 502.4	1 502.4
120	Other assets	-	n/a	185.8	n/a

Table 50: Template C - Encumbered assets/collateral received and associated liabilities

#### As of 30 June 2021

		Matching liabilities, contingent liabilities or securities lent	Assets, collateral received and own debt securities issued other than covered bonds and ABSs encumbered
		010	030
		£m	£m
010	Carrying amount of selected financial liabilities	2 432.0	4 850.3

#### As of 30 June 2020

		Matching liabilities, contingent liabilities or securities lent	Assets, collateral received and own debt securities issued other than covered bonds and ABSs encumbered
		010	030
		£m	£m
010	Carrying amount of selected financial liabilities	2 975.5	4 397.9

#### Table 51: Template D – Information on importance of encumbrance

The Group reviews all assets against the criteria of being able finance them in a secured form (encumbrance) but certain asset types lend themselves more readily to encumbrance. The typical characteristics that support encumbrance are an ability to pledge those assets to another counterparty or entity through operation of law with necessarily requiring prior notification, Homogeneity, predictable and measurable cash flows and a consistent and uniform underwriting and collection process. Retail assets, including residential mortgages, display many of these features.

From time-to-time, the Group encumbers assts to serve as collateral to support certain wholesale funding initiatives. The principal forms of encumbrance used by the Group are own asset securitisations and securities repurchase agreements. The Group holds encumbered assets in the form of a reserve bank account with the Bank of England, loans and advances to customers secured within the Group's securitisation vehicle and pre-positioned under the TFS/TFSME and cash collateral received in relation to derivative transactions.

## Appendix 6: Counter-cyclical buffer

The counter-cyclical buffer is an additional requirement introduced by CRD, calculated by applying a weighted average of country counter-cyclical buffer rates (based on the geographical distribution of relevant exposures) to the total RWAs of the Group. Credit exposures relevant to the calculation of the counter-cyclical buffer consist of exposures to retail lending, covered bonds, securitisation exposures and other assets. All other exposures are excluded.

In accordance with Regulation (EU) 1152/2014, as foreign credit exposures represent less than 2% of the Group's aggregate risk weighted exposures, all exposures have been allocated to the UK.

Set by the Financial Policy Committee ("FPC"), the UK CCyB is currently at zero. The CCyB rate is reviewed on a quarterly basis by the FPC and increases apply 12 months after it is set.

The following tables disclose information relevant for the calculation of the counter-cyclical buffer as of 30 June 2021 and 2020 in accordance with Regulation (EU) 2015/1555.

# Level of application : Consolidated

Table 52: Geographical distribution of credit exposures relevant for the calculation of the countercyclical buffer (Group consolidated)

		General credit	t exposures	Trading bool	rading book exposures		Securitisation Own funds		wn funds red	unds requirement			
		Exposure value for SA	Exposure value for IRB		Value of trading book exposures for internal models	Exposure value for SA	value for	credit	Trading	Of which: Sec'n exposures	Total	Own funds req'ts weights	Counter- cyclical buffer rate
		10	20	30	40	50	60	70	80	90	100	110	120
		£m	£m	£m	£m	£m	£m	£m	£m	£m	£m	%	%
10	Breakdown by country:												
	UK	14 222.1	-	-	-	115.4	-	618.5	-	1.8	620.4	100%	0.0%
20	Total	14 222.1	-	-	-	115.4	-	618.5	-	1.8	620.4	100%	0.0%

	Column	Column	
	10	10	
Row	2021	2020	
		£m	
<b>10</b> Total risk exposure amount	620.4	581.2	
20 Institution specific countercyclical buffer rate	0.0%	0.0%	
30 Institution specific countercyclical buffer requirement	-	-	

# Level of application : Solo

10

20

Table 54: Geographical distribution of credit exposures relevant for the calculation of the countercyclical buffer - Aldermore Bank PLC

General credit exposures		Trading bool	k exposures		tisation sures	O	wn funds re	quirement					
		Exposure value for SA	Exposure value for IRB	Sum of long and short positions of trading book exposures for SA	Value of trading book exposures for internal models	Exposure value for SA		credit	Trading	Of which: Sec'n	Total	Own funds req'ts weights	Counter- cyclical buffer rate
		10	20	30	40	50	60	70	80	90	100	110	120
		£m	£m	£m	£m	£m	£m	£m	£m	£m	£m	%	%
)	Breakdown by country:												
	UK	11 031.8	-	-	-	115.4	-	426.4	-	1.8	428.3	100%	0.0%
)	Total	11 031.8	-	-	-	115.4	-	426.4	-	1.8	428.3	100%	0.0%

## Table 55: Amount of institution specific countercyclical capital buffer - Aldermore Bank PLC

	Column	Column	
Daw.	10	10	
Row	2021	2020	
		£m	
<b>10</b> Total risk exposure amount	428.3	464.9	
20 Institution specific countercyclical buffer rate	0.0%	0.0%	
<b>30</b> Institution specific countercyclical buffer requirement	-	-	

Issued by Aldermore Group PLC

Registered office: Apex Plaza, 4th Floor Block D, Forbury Road, Reading, Berkshire, RG1 1AX, United Registered in England & Wales no. 06764335

www.aldermore.co.uk